

Bi-Domination in Brick product graphs

Anjaneyulu Mekala

Department of Mathematics, Guru Nanak Institutions Technical Campus (Autonomous),
Hyderabad, Telangana, India.

Vijaya Chandra Kumar U

School of Applied Sciences (Mathematics), REVA University, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India.

Umesha N

School of Applied Sciences (Mathematics), PG Student, REVA University, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India.

R. Murali

Department of Mathematics, Dr. Ambedkar Institute of Technology, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India.

*Corresponding author: anzim9@gmail.com *, upparivijay@gmail.com, umeshuds199@gmail.com
murali2968@gmail.com

ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8142-5198>

ABSTRACT

A non-empty set of vertices is a bi-domination set if D_{bi} is dominating set of $G = (V, E)$ and every $v \in D_{bi}$ dominates exactly two vertices in $V - D_{bi}$ such that $|N(v) \cap (V - D_{bi})| = 2$. The bi-domination number $\gamma_{bi}(G)$ is the minimum cardinality over all bi-dominating set in G . In this paper we determine bi-domination number $\gamma_{bi}(G)$ for the brick product graph of even cycle graphs.

Key Words: Dominating set, bi-dominating set, minimal bi-domination number.

AMS Subject Classification: 05C69.

1. Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are simple connected graphs without loops and multiple edges. The concept of a dominating set is well known in graph theoretic literature. In this paper we study the bi-domination number of a graph G and determine the bi-domination in brick product of even cycle graphs where $C(2k, p, q)$, $q = 3, 5, 7, 11$.

The concept of brick product of even cycles was introduced by Alspach et.al. [2] in which the Hamiltonian laceability properties of brick products was explored. Using the concept of brick-products, Alspach and Zhang show in [3] that all cubic Cayley graphs over dihedral groups are Hamiltonian. It was also conjectured that all brick product graphs $C(2n, m, r)$ are Hamiltonian laceable. Chen et.al. in [4] have shown that the conjecture is true for m is even. In [6] the authors Leena Shenoy and Murali and in [5] the authors Girisha and Murali studied the Hamiltonian laceability properties in cyclic product graphs associated with even cycles.

Definition 1.1. A set D_{bi} of vertices in a graph G is a **bi-dominating set** [1] if every $v \in D_{bi}$ dominates exactly two vertices in $V - D_{bi}$ such that $|N(v) \cap (V - D_{bi})| = 2$. The **bi-domination number** $\gamma_{bi}(G)$ is the minimum size of a bi-dominating set. Throughout this paper we will denote **dominating set** by Dst .

Definition 1.2. Let k, p and q be positive integers. Let $C_{2k} = v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2k-1}, v_0$ denote a cycle of order $2k$. The (p, q) -brick product of C_{2k} denoted by $C(2k, p, q)$ is defined as follows:

For $p = 1$, we require that q be odd and greater than 1. Then, $C(2k, p, q)$ is obtained from C_{2k} by adding chords $(v_{2r}, v_{2r+q}), r = 1, 2, \dots, k$, where the computation is performed under modulo $2k$.

For $p > 1$, we require that $p + q$ be even. Then $C(2k, p, q)$ is obtained by first taking disjoint union of k copies of C_{2k} namely $C_{2k}(1), C_{2k}(2), C_{2k}(3), \dots, C_{2k}(p)$ where for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$, $C_{2k}(i) = v_i(1), v_i(2), v_i(3), \dots, v_i(2k)$. Next, for each odd $i = 1, 2, \dots, p-1$ and each even $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2k-2$ an edge (called a brick edge) drawn to join v_{ir} to $v_{(i+1)r}$, whereas, for each even $i = 1, 2, \dots, p-1$ and each odd $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2k-1$, an edge (also called a brick edge) is drawn to join v_{ir} to $v_{(i+1)r}$.

Finally, for each odd $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2k-1$, an edge (called a hooking edge) is known to join v_{1r} to $v_{p(r+q)}$. An edge in $C(2k, p, q)$ which is neither a brick edge nor a hooking edge is called a flat edge.

2. Main Results

Theorem 2.1.

Let $G = C(2k, p, q)$ then for $p = 1, k \geq 3$ and $q = 3$

$$\gamma_{bi}(G) = \begin{cases} 2, & k = 3 \\ 4, & k = 4, 5, 6 \\ \frac{2k}{3}, & k \equiv 0(\text{mod } 3) \\ \frac{2(k-1)}{3} + 2, & k \equiv 1(\text{mod } 3) \\ \frac{2(k-2)}{3} + 2, & k \equiv 2(\text{mod } 3) \end{cases}$$

Proof.

We Consider the vertex set G as $V(G) = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2k-1}, v_{2k} = v_0\}$ and

the edge set of G as $E(G) = \{e_j : 1 \leq j \leq 2k\} \cup \{e'_j : 1 \leq j \leq k\}$ where e_j is the edge (v_{i-1}, v_i) and e'_j is the edge (v_{2r}, v_{2r+q})

$r = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, k$. Here $2r + q$ is computed modulo $2k$.

Case(i) : For $k = 3a + 4, a = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$

We consider the set $D_{bi} = \{\{v_{3j-2}\} \cup \{v_2\}\}$ where $1 \leq j \leq 2 \left\lceil \frac{k}{3} \right\rceil - 1$

Case(ii): For $k = 3b + 5$, $b = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$

We consider the set $D_{bi} = \{v_{3j-2}\}$ where $1 \leq j \leq 2 \left\lceil \frac{k}{3} \right\rceil$

Case(iii): For $k = 3c + 6$, $c = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$

We consider the set $D_{bi} = \{v_{3j-2}\}$ where $1 \leq j \leq \frac{2k}{3}$

The above cases of D_{bi} are the minimal bi- Dst . Hence, for every $u, w \in V - D_{bi}$ is adjacent to $v \in D_{bi}$ such that $|N(v) \cap (V - D_{bi})| = 2$ and every $u - v$ path contain a vertex of D_{bi} .

Therefore, D_{bi} is minimal bi- Dst and Since $|D_{bi}| = \begin{cases} 2, & k = 3 \\ 4, & k = 4, 5, 6 \\ \frac{2k}{3}, & k \equiv 0(\text{mod } 3) \\ \frac{2(k-1)}{3} + 2, & k \equiv 1(\text{mod } 3) \\ \frac{2(k-2)}{3} + 2, & k \equiv 2(\text{mod } 3) \end{cases}$

We immediately obtain $\gamma_{bi}(G) = \begin{cases} 2, & k = 3 \\ 4, & k = 4, 5, 6 \\ \frac{2k}{3}, & k \equiv 0(\text{mod } 3) \\ \frac{2(k-1)}{3} + 2, & k \equiv 1(\text{mod } 3) \\ \frac{2(k-2)}{3} + 2, & k \equiv 2(\text{mod } 3) \end{cases}$

Hence the proof.

Theorem 2.2.

Let $G = C(2k, p, q)$ then for $p = 1, k \geq 5$ and $q = 5$

$$\gamma_{bi}(G) = \begin{cases} 4, & k = 5 \\ 5, & k = 6 \\ 6, & k = 7 \\ k, & k \equiv 0(\text{mod } 2) \\ k-1, & k \equiv 1(\text{mod } 2) \end{cases}$$

Proof.

We Consider the vertex set G as $V(G) = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2k-1}, v_{2k} = v_0\}$ and

the edge set of G as $E(G) = \{e_j : 1 \leq j \leq 2k\} \cup \{e'_j : 1 \leq j \leq k\}$ where e_j is the edge (v_{i-1}, v_i) and e'_j is the edge (v_{2r}, v_{2r+q})

$r = 0, 1, 2, 3 \dots k$. Here $2r + q$ is computed modulo $2k$.

Case(i): For $k = 2a + 6, a = 1, 2, 3, 4 \dots$

We consider the set $D_{bi} = \{\{v_1\} \cup \{v_{4j-1}\} \cup \{v_{4j'}\} \cup \{v_{2k-6}\} \cup \{v_{2k}\}\}$

Where $1 \leq j \leq \frac{k}{2} - 1, 1 \leq j' \leq \frac{k}{2} - 2$

Case(ii): For $k = 2b + 7, b = 1, 2, 3, 4 \dots$

We consider the set $D_{bi} = \{\{v_1\} \cup \{v_{4j-1}\} \cup \{v_{4j'}\} \cup \{v_{2k-4}\}\}$

Where $1 \leq j \leq \left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor - 1, 1 \leq j' \leq \left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor - 1$

The above cases of D_{bi} are the minimal bi- Dst . Hence, for every $u, w \in V - D_{bi}$ is adjacent to $v \in D_{bi}$ such that $|N(v) \cap (V - D_{bi})| = 2$ and every $u - v$ path contain a vertex of D_{bi} .

Therefore, D_{bi} is minimal bi- Dst and Since $|D_{bi}| = \begin{cases} 4, & k = 5 \\ 5, & k = 6 \\ 6, & k = 7 \\ k, & k \equiv 0(\text{mod } 2) \\ k-1, & k \equiv 1(\text{mod } 2) \end{cases}$

We immediately obtain $\gamma_{bi}(G) = \begin{cases} 4, & k = 5 \\ 5, & k = 6 \\ 6, & k = 7 \\ k, & k \equiv 0(\text{mod } 2) \\ k-1, & k \equiv 1(\text{mod } 2) \end{cases}$

Hence the proof.

Theorem: 2.3.

Let $G = C(2k, p, q)$ then for $p = 1, k \geq 7$ and $q = 7$

$$\gamma_{bi}(G) = \begin{cases} 6, & k = 7 \\ k, & k \equiv 0(\text{mod } 2) \\ k - 1, & k \equiv 1(\text{mod } 2) \end{cases}$$

Proof.

We Consider the vertex set G as $V(G) = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2k-1}, v_{2k} = v_0\}$ and

the edge set of G as $E(G) = \{e_j : 1 \leq j \leq 2k\} \cup \{e'_j : 1 \leq j \leq k\}$ where e_j is the edge (v_{i-1}, v_i) and e'_j is the edge (v_{2r}, v_{2r+q})

$r = 0, 1, 2, 3 \dots k$. Here $2r + q$ is computed modulo $2k$.

Case(i) : For $k = 2a + 6, a = 1, 2, 3, 4 \dots$

We consider the set $D_{bi} = \{\{v_{4j-3}\} \cup \{v_{4j'}\}\}$ where $1 \leq j \leq \frac{k}{2}, 1 \leq j' \leq \frac{k}{2}$

Case(ii) : $k = 2b + 7, b = 1, 2, 3, 4 \dots$

we consider the set $D_{bi} = \{\{v_{4j-3}\} \cup \{v_{4j'}\} \cup \{v_{2k}\} \cup \{v_{2k-4}\}\}$

where $1 \leq j \leq \left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor, 1 \leq j' \leq \left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor - 2$

The above cases of D_{bi} are the minimal bi- Dst . Hence, for every $u, w \in V - D_{bi}$ is adjacent to $v \in D_{bi}$ such that $|N(v) \cap (V - D_{bi})| = 2$ and every $u - v$ path contain a vertex of D_{bi} .

Therefore, D_{bi} is minimal bi- Dst and Since $|D_{bi}| = \begin{cases} 6, & k = 7 \\ k, & k \equiv 0(\text{mod } 2) \\ k - 1, & k \equiv 1(\text{mod } 2) \end{cases}$

We immediately obtain $\gamma_{bi}(G) = \begin{cases} 6, & k = 7 \\ k, & k \equiv 0(\text{mod } 2) \\ k - 1, & k \equiv 1(\text{mod } 2) \end{cases}$

Hence the proof.

Theorem: 2.4.

Let $G = C(2k, p, q)$ then for $p = 1, k \geq 11$ and $q = 11$

$$\gamma_{bi}(G) = \begin{cases} k, & k \equiv 0(\text{mod } 2) \\ k-1, & k \equiv 1(\text{mod } 2) \end{cases}$$

Proof.

We Consider the vertex set G as $V(G) = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2k-1}, v_{2k} = v_0\}$ and

the edge set of G as $E(G) = \{e_j : 1 \leq j \leq 2k\} \cup \{e'_j : 1 \leq j \leq k\}$

where e_j is the edge (v_{i-1}, v_i) and e'_j is the edge (v_{2r}, v_{2r+q}) $r = 0, 1, 2, 3 \dots k$. Here $2r + q$ is computed modulo $2k$.

Case(i) : $k = 2a + 6, a = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$

We consider the set $D_{bi} = \{\{v_{4j-3}\} \cup \{v_{4j'}\}\}$ where $1 \leq j \leq \frac{k}{2}, 1 \leq j' \leq \frac{k}{2}$

Case(ii): $k = 2b + 7, b = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$

We consider the set $D_{bi} = \{\{v_{4j-3}\} \cup \{v_{4j'}\} \cup \{v_{2k}\} \cup \{v_{2k-4}\}\}$

Where $1 \leq j \leq \left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor, 1 \leq j' \leq \left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor - 2$

The above cases of D_{bi} are the minimal bi- Dst . Hence, for every $u, w \in V - D_{bi}$ is adjacent to $v \in D_{bi}$ such that $|N(v) \cap (V - D_{bi})| = 2$ and every $u - v$ path contain a vertex of D_{bi} .

Therefore, D_{bi} is minimal bi- Dst and Since $|D_{bi}| = \begin{cases} k, & k \equiv 0(\text{mod } 2) \\ k-1, & k \equiv 1(\text{mod } 2) \end{cases}$

We immediately obtain $\gamma_{bi}(G) = \begin{cases} k, & k \equiv 0(\text{mod } 2) \\ k-1, & k \equiv 1(\text{mod } 2) \end{cases}$

Hence the proof.

Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to Professor R. Murali, Department of Mathematics, Dr. Ambedkar Institute of Technology, Bengaluru and U. Vijaya Chandra Kumar, School of Applied Sciences (Mathematics), REVA University, Bengaluru, for this precious support and suggestions.

References

[1] Lavanya, D. S. T. Ramesh and N. Meena, "Bi-domination in Graphs", International Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research (JETIR), ISSN-2349-5162, Volume 6, Issue 6, pp 424-427, 2019.
 [2] B. Alspach, C.C. Chen, Kevin McAveney, On a class of Hamiltonian laceable 3-regular graphs, Disc. Math. 151 (1996) pp 19-38.

- [3] B. Alspach, C.Q. Zhang, Hamilton cycles in cubic Cayley graphs on dihedral groups, *Ars Combin.* 28 (1989), pp 101-108.
- [4] C.C. Chen, N. Quimpo, On strongly Hamiltonian abelian group graphs, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer, 884 (1981) pp 23-34.
- [5] A. Girisha, R. Murali, Hamiltonian laceability in cyclic product and brick product of cycles, *Int. J. of graph theory*, 1(1) (2013), pp 32-40.
- [6] Leena N. Shenoy, R. Murali, Laceability on a class of Regular Graphs, *International J. of comp. Sci. and Math.* 2 (3) (2010), pp 397-406.
- [7] U. Vijayachandra Kumar and R. Murali, “s – path Domination in Brick Product Graphs”, *International Journal of Research in Engineering, IT and Social sciences*, Vol.08, Issue.05, pp. 105-113, 2018.