

Operations on Interval-valued Binary Fuzzy Graphs

Dr. M. Vijaya¹ and M. Asha Joyce²

¹Research Advisor, PG and Research Dept. of Mathematics,
Marudupandi College, Thanjavur, Tamil Nadu, India

²Reaserach Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Marudupandi College, Thanjavur.
(Affiliated to Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli)

ABSTRACT

In this paper we define Cartesian Product of Interval – valued binary fuzzy graphs and composition of Interval – valued binary fuzzy graphs. We investigate some of their properties.

KEYWORDS: Cartesian product, Composition, Interval – valued binary fuzzy graphs.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of fuzzy sets was introduced by L.A. Zadeh in 1965. It involves the concept of membership function defined on a universal set. The value of the membership function lies in [0,1]. This concept has found applications in computer science, artificial intelligence, decision analysis, information science, pattern recognition, operation research and robotics. The fuzzy relations between fuzzy sets were also considered by Rosenfeld (1975) who developed the structure of fuzzy graphs. Later on Bhattacharya gave some remarks on fuzzy graphs. The operations of union, join, Cartesian Product and composition on two fuzzy graphs were defined by Moderson J.N. and Peng. C.S. In this paper we study about the binary fuzzy graphs we define the operations of Cartesian product, composition on Interval – valued binary fuzzy graphs. Also we obtained some results related on Cartesian product on IVBFG, Composition of IVBFG.

Definition 1.1

A fuzzy subset μ on a set X is a map $\mu: X \rightarrow [0,1]$. A map $B: X \times X \rightarrow [0,1]$ is fuzzy relation on X if $B(\alpha, \beta) \leq \mu(x) \wedge \mu(y)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in B$ is a symmetric fuzzy relation if $B(\alpha, \beta) = B(\beta, \alpha)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in X$.

Definition 1.2

Let X be a non-empty regular set. A binary fuzzy set B in X is an objective having the practice $B = \{(\alpha, \mu^{\rho}(\alpha), \mu^{\eta}(\alpha)) / \alpha \in X\}$ where $\mu^{\rho}: X \rightarrow [0,1]$ and $\mu^{\eta}: X \rightarrow [0,1]$ are mappings.

Definition 1.3

A binary fuzzy graph of $BG^* = (V, E)$ is a pair $BG = (A, B)$ where $A = (\mu_A^{\rho}, \mu_A^{\eta})$ is a binary fuzzy set in V and $B = (\mu_B^{\rho}, \mu_B^{\eta})$ is a binary fuzzy set in $V \times V$ such that $(\mu_B^{\rho}(\alpha\beta) \leq \mu_A^{\rho}(\alpha) \wedge \mu_A^{\rho}(\beta))$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in V \times V$, $(\mu_B^{\eta}(\alpha\beta) \leq \mu_A^{\eta}(\alpha) \wedge \mu_A^{\eta}(\beta))$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in V \times V$, and $\mu_B^{\eta}(\alpha\beta) = \mu_B^{\rho}(\alpha\beta) = 0$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in V \times V - E$.

Definition 1.4

By an interval – valued binary fuzzy graph of $BG^* = (V, E)$ is a pair $BG = (A, B)$ where $A = [(\mu_{AIB}^{\rho N}, \mu_{AIB}^{\eta N}), (\mu_{AIB}^{\rho P}, \mu_{AIB}^{\eta P})]$ is an interval – valued fuzzy set on V and $B = [(\mu_{BIB}^{\rho N}, \mu_{BIB}^{\eta N}), (\mu_{AIB}^{\rho P}, \mu_{BIB}^{\eta P})]$ is an interval – valued fuzzy relation on E such that

$$\begin{cases} \mu_{BIB}^{\rho N}(xy) \leq \mu_{AIB}^{\rho N}(x) \wedge \mu_{AIB}^{\rho N}(y) \\ \mu_{BIB}^{\eta P}(xy) \leq \mu_{BIB}^{\eta P}(x) \wedge \mu_{BIB}^{\eta P}(y) \end{cases} \text{ for all } x, y \in V \times V$$

$$\begin{cases} \mu_{BIB}^{\eta N}(xy) \geq \mu_{AIB}^{\eta N}(x) \vee \mu_{AIB}^{\eta N}(y) \\ \mu_{BIB}^{\eta P}(xy) \geq \mu_{BIB}^{\eta P}(x) \vee \mu_{BIB}^{\eta P}(y) \end{cases} \text{ for all } x, y \in V \times V$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \mu_{BIB}^{\eta N}(xy) = (\mu_{BIB}^{\rho N}(xy)) = 0 \\ \mu_{BIB}^{\eta P}(xy) = (\mu_{BIB}^{\eta P}(xy)) = 0 \end{cases} \text{ for all } x, y \in V \times V - E$$

Throughout this paper BG^* is a crisp graph and BG is an interval – valued binary fuzzy graph.

2. CARTESIAN PRODUCT ON INTERVAL – VALUED BINARY FUZZY GRAPHS

Definition 2.1

The Cartesian product $G_{1IB} \times G_{2IB}$ of two interval – valued binary fuzzy graphs $G_{1IB} = (A_1, B_1)$ and $G_{2IB} = (A_2, B_2)$ of the graphs $G_{1IB}^* = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_{2IB}^* = (V_2, E_2)$ is defined as a pair $(A_1 \times A_2, B_1 \times B_2)$ such that

$$(i) \begin{cases} (\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho N} \times \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho N})(x_1, x_2) = (\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho N}(x_1) \wedge \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho N}(x_2)) \\ (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta N} \times \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta N})(x_1, x_2) = (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta N}(x_1) \wedge \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta N}(x_2)) \\ (\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho P} \times \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho P})(x_1, x_2) = (\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho P}(x_1) \wedge \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho P}(x_2)) \\ (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta P} \times \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta P})(x_1, x_2) = (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta P}(x_1) \wedge \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta P}(x_2)) \end{cases}$$

for all $(x_1, x_2) \in V$

$$(ii) \begin{cases} (\mu_{B1IB}^{\rho N} \times \mu_{B2IB}^{\rho N})(x, x_2)(x, y_2) = (\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho N}(x) \wedge \mu_{B2IB}^{\rho N}(x_2, y_2)) \\ (\mu_{B1IB}^{\eta N} \times \mu_{B2IB}^{\eta N})(x, x_2)(x, y_2) = (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta N}(x) \vee \mu_{B2IB}^{\eta N}(x_2, y_2)) \\ (\mu_{B1IB}^{\rho P} \times \mu_{B2IB}^{\rho P})(x, x_2)(x, y_2) = (\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho P}(x) \wedge \mu_{B2IB}^{\rho P}(x_2, y_2)) \\ (\mu_{B1IB}^{\eta P} \times \mu_{B2IB}^{\eta P})(x, x_2)(x, y_2) = (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta P}(x) \vee \mu_{B2IB}^{\eta P}(x_2, y_2)) \end{cases}$$

$$(iii) \begin{cases} (\mu_{B1IB}^{\rho N} \times \mu_{B2IB}^{\rho N})(x_1, z)(x_1, z) = (\mu_{BIB}^{\rho N}(x_2, y_2) \wedge \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho N}(z)) \\ (\mu_{B1IB}^{\eta N} \times \mu_{B2IB}^{\eta N})(x_1, z)(x_1, z) = (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta N}(x_1, y_1) \vee \mu_{BIB}^{\eta N}(z)) \\ (\mu_{B1IB}^{\rho P} \times \mu_{B2IB}^{\rho P})(x_1, z)(x_1, z) = (\mu_{BIB}^{\rho P}(x_2, y_2) \wedge \mu_{BIB}^{\rho P}(z)) \\ (\mu_{B1IB}^{\eta P} \times \mu_{B2IB}^{\eta P})(x_1, z)(x_1, z) = (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta P}(x_1, y_1) \vee \mu_{BIB}^{\eta P}(z)) \end{cases}$$

JOURNAL OF ALGEBRAIC STATISTICS

Volume 13, No. 2, 2022, p. 2534 - 2540

<https://publishoa.com>

ISSN: 1309-3452

Theorem 2.2

The Cartesian product $G_{1IB} \times G_{2IB} = (A_1 \times A_2, B_1 \times B_2)$ of two interval – valued binary fuzzy graphs of the graphs G_{1IB}^* and G_{2IB}^* is an interval – valued binary fuzzy graph of $G_{1IB}^* \times G_{2IB}^*$.

Proof

We verify only conditions for $B_{1IB} \times B_{2IB}$ because conditions for $A_{1IB} \times A_{2IB}$ are obvious.

Let $x \in V_1, x_2y_2 \in E_2$ then,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mu_{B1IB}^{\rho N} \times \mu_{B2IB}^{\rho N})((x, x_1), (x, y_2)) &= \min (\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho N}(x), \mu_{B2IB}^{\rho N}(x_2, y_2)) \\
&\leq \min (\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho N}(x), \min (\mu_{A2IB}^{\rho N}(x_2), \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho N}(y_2))) \\
&= \min (\min (\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho N}(x), \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho N}(x_2)), \min (\mu_{A2IB}^{\rho N}(x), \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho N}(y_2))) \\
&= \min ((\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho N} \times \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho N})(x, x_2), (\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho N} \times \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho N})(x, y_2)) \\
(\mu_{B1IB}^{\eta N} \times \mu_{B2IB}^{\eta N})((x, x_2), (x, y_2)) &= \min (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta N}(x), \mu_{B2IB}^{\eta N}(x_2, y_2)) \\
&\leq \min (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta N}(x), \min (\mu_{A2IB}^{\eta N}(x_2), \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta N}(y_2))) \\
&= \min (\min (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta N}(x), \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta N}(x_2)), \min (\mu_{A2IB}^{\eta N}(x), \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta N}(y_2))) \\
&= \min ((\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta N} \times \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta N})(x, x_2), (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta N} \times \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta N})(x, y_2)) \\
(\mu_{B1IB}^{\rho P} \times \mu_{B2IB}^{\rho P})((x, x_2), (x, y_2)) &= \min (\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho P}(x), \mu_{B2IB}^{\rho P}(x_2, y_2)) \\
&\leq \min (\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho P}(x), \min (\mu_{A2IB}^{\rho P}(x_2), \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho P}(y_2))) \\
&= \min (\min (\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho P}(x), \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho P}(x_2)), \min (\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho P}(x), \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho P}(y_2))) \\
&= \min ((\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho P} \times \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho P})(x, x_2), (\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho P} \times \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho P})(x, y_2)) \\
(\mu_{B1IB}^{\eta P} \times \mu_{B2IB}^{\eta P})((x, x_2), (x, y_2)) &= \min (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta P}(x), \mu_{B2IB}^{\eta P}(x_2, y_2)) \\
&\leq \min (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta P}(x), \min (\mu_{A2IB}^{\eta P}(x_2), \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta P}(y_2))) \\
&= \min (\min (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta P}(x), \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta P}(x_2)), \min (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta P}(x), \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta P}(y_2))) \\
&= \min ((\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta P} \times \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta P})(x, x_2), (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta P} \times \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta P})(x, y_2))
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly for $z \in V_2$ and $x_1y_1 \in E_1$ we have

JOURNAL OF ALGEBRAIC STATISTICS

Volume 13, No. 2, 2022, p. 2534 - 2540

<https://publishoa.com>

ISSN: 1309-3452

$$(\mu_{B1IB}^{\rho N} \times \mu_{B2IB}^{\rho N})((x_1, z), (y_1, z)) = \min (\mu_{B1IB}^{\rho N}(x_1, y_1), \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho N}(z))$$

$$\leq \min (\min (\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho N}(x_1), \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho N}(y_1)), \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho N}(z),)$$

$$= \min (\min (\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho N}(x_1), \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho N}(z)), \min (\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho N}(y_1), \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho N}(z)))$$

$$= \min ((\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho N} \times \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho N})(x_1, z), (\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho N} \times \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho N})(y_1, z))$$

$$(\mu_{B1IB}^{\eta N} \times \mu_{B2IB}^{\eta N})((x_1, z), (y_1, z)) = \min (\mu_{B1IB}^{\eta N}(x, y_1), \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta N}(z))$$

$$\leq \min (\min (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta N}(x_1), \mu_{A1IB}^{\eta N}(y_1)), \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta N}(z),)$$

$$= \min (\min (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta N}(x_1), \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta N}(z)), \min (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta N}(y_1), \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta N}(z)))$$

$$= \min ((\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta N} \times \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta N})(x_1, z), (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta N} \times \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta N})(y_1, z))$$

$$(\mu_{B1IB}^{\rho P} \times \mu_{B2IB}^{\rho P})((x_1, z), (y_1, z)) = \min (\mu_{B1IB}^{\rho P}(x_1, y_1), \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho P}(z))$$

$$\leq \min (\min (\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho P}(x_1), \mu_{A1IB}^{\rho P}(y_1)), \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho P}(z),)$$

$$= \min (\min (\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho P}(x_1), \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho P}(z)), \min (\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho P}(y_1), \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho P}(z)))$$

$$= \min ((\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho P} \times \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho P})(x_1, z), (\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho P} \times \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho P})(y_1, z))$$

$$(\mu_{B1IB}^{\eta P} \times \mu_{B2IB}^{\eta P})((x_1, z), (y_1, z)) = \min (\mu_{B1IB}^{\eta P}(x_1, y_1), \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta P}(z))$$

$$\leq \min (\min (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta P}(x_1), \mu_{A1IB}^{\eta P}(y_1)), \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta P}(z),)$$

$$= \min (\min (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta P}(x_1), \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta P}(z)), \min (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta P}(y_1), \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta P}(z)))$$

$$= \min ((\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta P} \times \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta P})(x_1, z), (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta P} \times \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta P})(y_1, z))$$

3. COMPOSITION OF INTERVAL – VALUED BINARY FUZZY GRAPHS

Definition 3.1

The composition of $G_{1IB}[G_{2IB}] = (A_1 \circ A_2, B_1 \circ B_2)$ of two interval – valued binary fuzzy graphs G_{1IB} and G_{2IB} of the graphs G_{1IB}^* and G_{2IB}^* is defined as follows

JOURNAL OF ALGEBRAIC STATISTICS

Volume 13, No. 2, 2022, p. 2534 - 2540

<https://publishoa.com>

ISSN: 1309-3452

$$(i) \begin{cases} (\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho N} \circ \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho N})(x_1, x_2) = (\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho N}(x_1) \wedge \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho N}(x_2)) \\ (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta N} \circ \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta N})(x_1, x_2) = (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta N}(x_1) \wedge \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta N}(x_2)) \\ (\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho P} \circ \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho P})(x_1, x_2) = (\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho P}(x_1) \wedge \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho P}(x_2)) \\ (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta P} \circ \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta P})(x_1, x_2) = (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta P}(x_1) \wedge \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta P}(x_2)) \end{cases}$$

for all $(x_1, x_2) \in V$

$$(ii) \begin{cases} (\mu_{B1IB}^{\rho N} \circ \mu_{B2IB}^{\rho N})(x_1, x_2)(x_1, y_2) = (\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho N}(x_1) \wedge \mu_{B2IB}^{\rho N}(x_2, y_2)) \\ (\mu_{B1IB}^{\eta N} \circ \mu_{B2IB}^{\eta N})(x_1, x_2)(x_1, y_2) = (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta N}(x_1) \vee \mu_{B2IB}^{\eta N}(x_2, y_2)) \\ (\mu_{B1IB}^{\rho P} \circ \mu_{B2IB}^{\rho P})(x_1, x_2)(x_1, y_2) = (\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho P}(x_1) \wedge \mu_{B2IB}^{\rho P}(x_2, y_2)) \\ (\mu_{B1IB}^{\eta P} \circ \mu_{B2IB}^{\eta P})(x_1, x_2)(x_1, y_2) = (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta P}(x_1) \vee \mu_{B2IB}^{\eta P}(x_2, y_2)) \end{cases}$$

for all $x_1 \in V_2$ and $x_2, y_2 \in E_2$

$$(iii) \begin{cases} (\mu_{B1IB}^{\rho N} \circ \mu_{B2IB}^{\rho N})(x_1, z)(x_1, z) = (\mu_{B1IB}^{\rho N}(x_2, y_2) \wedge \mu_{A1IB}^{\rho N}(z)) \\ (\mu_{B1IB}^{\eta N} \circ \mu_{B2IB}^{\eta N})(x_1, z)(y_1, z) = (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta N}(x_1, y_1) \vee \mu_{B1IB}^{\eta N}(z)) \\ (\mu_{B1IB}^{\rho P} \circ \mu_{B2IB}^{\rho P})(x_1, z)(x_1, z) = (\mu_{B1IB}^{\rho P}(x_2, y_2) \wedge \mu_{2IB}^{\rho P}(z)) \\ (\mu_{B1IB}^{\eta P} \circ \mu_{B2IB}^{\eta P})(x_1, z)(y_1, z) = (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta P}(x_1, y_1) \vee \mu_{B1IB}^{\eta P}(z)) \end{cases}$$

for all $z \in V_2$ and $x_1, y_1 \in E_1$

$$(iv) \begin{cases} (\mu_{B1IB}^{\rho N} \circ \mu_{B2IB}^{\rho N})(x_1, z)(y_1, z) = (\mu_{B1IB}^{\rho N}(x_2, y_2) \wedge \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho N}(z)) \\ (\mu_{B1IB}^{\eta N} \circ \mu_{B2IB}^{\eta N})(x_1, x_2)(y_1, y_2) = (\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho N}(x_2) \wedge \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho N}(y_2) \wedge \mu_{B2IB}^{\rho N}(x_1, x_2)) \\ (\mu_{B1IB}^{\rho P} \circ \mu_{B2IB}^{\rho P})(x_1, z)(x_1, z) = (\mu_{B1IB}^{\rho P}(x_2, y_2) \wedge \mu_{2IB}^{\rho P}(z)) \\ (\mu_{B1IB}^{\eta P} \circ \mu_{B2IB}^{\eta P})(x_1, x_2)(y_1, y_2) = (\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho P}(x_2) \wedge \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho P}(y_2) \wedge \mu_{B2IB}^{\rho P}(x_1, x_2)) \end{cases}$$

for all $(x_1, x_2)(y_1, y_2) \in E^\circ - E$.

$$(v) \begin{cases} (\mu_{B1IB}^{\eta N} \circ \mu_{B2IB}^{\eta N})(x_1, x_2)(\beta_1, \beta_2) = (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta N}(x_2) \vee \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta N}(y_2) \vee \mu_{B1IB}^{\eta N}(x_1, y_2)) \\ (\mu_{B1IB}^{\eta P} \circ \mu_{B2IB}^{\eta P})(x_1, x_2)(\beta_1, \beta_2) = (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta P}(x_2) \vee \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta P}(y_2) \vee \mu_{B1IB}^{\eta P}(x_1, x_2)) \end{cases}$$

for all $(x_1, x_2)(y_1, y_2) \in E^\circ - E$.

Theorem 3.2

The composition $G_{1IB}[G_{2IB}]$ of interval – valued binary fuzzy graphs G_{1IB} and G_{2IB} of G_{1IB}^* and G_{2IB}^* is an interval – valued binary fuzzy graph of $G_{1IB}^*[G_{2IB}^*]$.

Proof

Similarly as in the previous proof we verify the conditions for $B_{1IB} \circ B_{2IB}$ only.

JOURNAL OF ALGEBRAIC STATISTICS

Volume 13, No. 2, 2022, p. 2534 - 2540

<https://publishoa.com>

ISSN: 1309-3452

In the case $x \in V_1, x_2y_2 \in E_2$, according to (ii) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\mu_{B1IB}^{\rho N} \circ \mu_{B2IB}^{\rho N})((x, x_2)(x, y_2)) = \min(\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho N}(x), \mu_{B2IB}^{\rho N}(x_2, y_2)) \\
& \leq \min(\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho N}(x), \min(\mu_{A2IB}^{\rho N}(x_2), \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho N}(y_2))) \\
& = \min(\min(\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho N}(x), \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho N}(x_2)), \min(\mu_{A2IB}^{\rho N}(x), \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho N}(y_2))) \\
& = \min((\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho N} \circ \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho N})(x, x_2), (\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho N} \circ \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho N})(x, y_2)) \\
& (\mu_{B1IB}^{\eta N} \circ \mu_{B2IB}^{\eta N})((x, x_2), (x, y_2)) = \min(\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta N}(x), \mu_{B2IB}^{\eta N}(x_2, y_2)) \\
& \leq \min(\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta N}(x), \min(\mu_{A2IB}^{\eta N}(x_2), \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta N}(y_2))) \\
& = \min(\min(\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta N}(x), \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta N}(x_2)), \min(\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta N}(x), \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta N}(y_2))) \\
& = \min((\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta N} \circ \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta N})(x, x_2), (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta N} \circ \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta N})(x, y_2)) \\
& (\mu_{B1IB}^{\rho P} \circ \mu_{B2IB}^{\rho P})((x, x_2), (x, y_2)) = \min(\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho P}(x), \mu_{B2IB}^{\rho P}(x_2, y_2)) \\
& \leq \min(\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho P}(x), \min(\mu_{A2IB}^{\rho P}(x_2), \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho P}(y_2))) \\
& = \min(\min(\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho P}(x), \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho P}(x_2)), \min(\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho P}(x), \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho P}(y_2))) \\
& = \min((\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho P} \circ \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho P})(x, x_2), (\mu_{A1IB}^{\rho P} \circ \mu_{A2IB}^{\rho P})(x, y_2)) \\
& (\mu_{B1IB}^{\eta P} \circ \mu_{B2IB}^{\eta P})((x_1, x_2), (x, y_2)) = \min(\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta P}(x), \mu_{B2IB}^{\eta P}(x_2, y_2)) \\
& \leq \min(\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta P}(x), \min(\mu_{A2IB}^{\eta P}(x_2), \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta P}(y_2))) \\
& = \min(\min(\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta P}(x), \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta P}(x_2)), \min(\mu_{A2IB}^{\eta P}(x), \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta P}(y_2))) \\
& = \min((\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta P} \circ \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta P})(x_1, x_2), (\mu_{A1IB}^{\eta P} \circ \mu_{A2IB}^{\eta P})(x, y_2))
\end{aligned}$$

In the case $z \in V_2, x_1y_1 \in E_1$ the proof is similar.

CONCLUSION

In this study we introduced the Cartesian product, Composition on Interval – valued Binary fuzzy graphs and some theorems are discussed.

REFERENCES

- [1] Rosenfield. A, Fuzzy graphs, in: Zadeh. L.A, K.S Fu, Tanaka. K, Shimura. M (Eds), Fuzzy sets and Decision Processes, Academic Press, New York, 1975, pp 77-95.
- [2] Moderson. J.N, Peng. C.S, “Operation on fuzzy graphs”, Information Sciences 79 (1994) 159-170

JOURNAL OF ALGEBRAIC STATISTICS

Volume 13, No. 2, 2022, p. 2534 - 2540

<https://publishoa.com>

ISSN: 1309-3452

- [3] John. N. Moderson and Premch and S. Nair, Fuzzy Graphs and Fuzzy hyper Graphs, Physica – Verlag, Heidelberg 2000.
- [4] Nagoorgani. A and Chandrasekaran. V.T, “Fuzzy Graph Theory”, Allied Publishers pvt. Ltd.
- [5] Nagoorgani. A and Basheer Ahmed. M, 2003, “order and Size of fuzzy graphs”, Bulletin of pure and applied sciences. 22E(1), pp. 145-148.
- [6] Nagoorgani. A and Radha. K, 2009, “The degree of a vertex in some fuzzy graphs”, International Journal of Algorithms, Computing and Mathematics”, Vol 2, (107-116).
- [7] Zimmermann. H.J, “Fuzzy Set Theory and Its Applications”, Kluwer-Nijhoff, Boston, 1985.