

A Study on Bipolar Cubic Fuzzy Transition Matrices

M. VIJAYA

Research Advisor, PG and Research Department of Mathematics,Marudupandiyar College, Thanjavur – 613403,Tamilnadu, India,(Affiliated to Bharathidasan University,Trichirappalli)

K. Kalaiyaran

Research Scholar,PG and Research Department of Mathematics,Marudupandiyar College, Thanjavur – 613403,Tamilnadu,India,(Affiliated to Bharathidasan University,Trichirappalli)

ABSTRACT

In this paper we introduce the concepts of internal cubic fuzzy graphs, external cubic fuzzy graphs and the notion of cubic bipolar fuzzy transition matrices, Internal and External bipolar fuzzy transition matrices. We discuss some results on internal cubic fuzzy graphs and external cubic fuzzy graphs and provide some results related with cubic bipolar fuzzy transition matrix.

Keywords: internal cubic fuzzy graphs,external cubic fuzzy graph, cubic bipolar fuzzy transition matrix.

INTRODUCTION

In 1975 Rosenfeld [16] introduced fuzzy graphs based on fuzzy set.Fuzzy graph theory plays essential roles in various disciplines including information theory, neural networks,clustering problems and control theory,etc.Fuzzy models is more compatible to the system in compare with classical mode.Bhattacharya [6] gave some remarks on fuzzy graphs.Some operations on fuzzy graphs were introduced by mordeson and peng[9].Akram et al. has introduced several new concepts including bipolar fuzzy graphs,regular bipolar fuzzy graphs, irregular bipolar fuzzy graphs etc.Pal et al. [9,10] and Pramanik et al [12] added some useful results to the theory of interval – valued fuzzy graphs Parvathi et al [11] provided some different operations on intuitionistic fuzzy graphs and so on and pal [12] studied product of characteristic fuzzy graphs Jun et al [8] generalizations of fuzzy sets of cubic sets. They developed cubic set theory in many directions and for more detail about cubic sets one can see [7,8]. Kang and Kim [7] studied mappings of cubic sets. Sheikh Rashid, Naveed Yagoob, Muhammad Akram, Auhammad Gulistan studied certain concepts of cubic graphs. In this paper, we present new concepts like internal,external cubic fuzzy graph and bipolar cubic fuzzy transition matrix.An internal and external cubic bipolar fuzzy transition set are discussed. And also provides the cubic fuzzy graphs with results.

1. BASIC DEFINITIONS

Definition 1.1

A fuzzy graph with a non-empty finite set V as the underlying set is a pair $G^* = (\sigma, \mu)$, where $\sigma: V \rightarrow [0,1]$ is a fuzzy subset of V , $\mu: V \times V \rightarrow [0,1]$ is a symmetric fuzzy relation on the fuzzy subset σ , such that $\mu(u, v) \leq \sigma(u) \wedge \sigma(v)$, for all $u, v \in V$, where \wedge indicates the minimum among $\sigma(u)$ and $\sigma(v)$.

Definition 1.2

Let $A_{2 \times 2}$ be the set of all 2×2 transition matrices over the fuzzy algebra τ . The operations $(+, .)$ are defined on $A_{2 \times 2}$ as follows.

JOURNAL OF ALGEBRAIC STATISTICS

Volume 13, No. 2, 2022, p. 2556 - 2568

<https://publishoa.com>

ISSN: 1309-3452

If $C = (c_{ij})$ and $D = (d_{ij}) \in A_{2 \times 2}$ with $c_{ij}, d_{ij} \in M$.

Then,

$$(i) \quad C + D = \begin{cases} c_{ij} + d_{ij}, & \text{if } c_{ij} + d_{ij} < 1 \\ c_{ij} + d_{ij} - 1, & \text{if } c_{ij} + d_{ij} \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$(ii) \quad C + D = \begin{cases} [1 \ 0]_{1 \times 2}, & \text{if } c_{ij} + d_{ij} = 1 \text{ in row 1} \\ [0 \ 1]_{1 \times 2}, & \text{if } c_{ij} + d_{ij} = 1 \text{ in row 2} \end{cases}$$

(iii) For any Scalar $\lambda \in (0,1)$, $\lambda_A = \text{fraction part of } (10 \lambda c_{ij})$

The system $A_{2 \times 2}$ together with these operations of component wise transition addition and multiplication is called as fuzzy transition matrix. Then the fuzzy transition matrix denoted by $(A_{2 \times 2}, \tau)$.

Definition 1.3

Let $(A_{2 \times 2}, \tau)$ be a fuzzy transition vector space. We take Inner product of $C = (c_{ij})$ and $D = (d_{ij}) \in A_{2 \times 2}$ is defined as $\langle C, D \rangle = \oplus \langle c_{ij}, d_{ij} \rangle$ which satisfies the following four conditions:

- (i) $\langle C, D \rangle = \langle D, C \rangle$
- (ii) $\langle \lambda C, D \rangle = \lambda \langle C, D \rangle, \lambda \in (0,1)$
- (iii) $\langle C + D, E \rangle = \langle C, E \rangle + \langle D, E \rangle, E \in A_{2 \times 2}$
- (iv) $\langle C, C \rangle = 0$, if and only if the rows are identical.

Then the system $A_{2 \times 2}$ together with this inner Product is called as fuzzy transition inner product space.

Definition 1.4

Let $(A_{2 \times 2}, \tau)$ be a fuzzy transition vector space. The norm for every element $C \in A_{2 \times 2}$ is denoted by $\|C\| = \langle C, C \rangle$ which satisfies

- (i) $0 \leq \|C\| \leq 1, \|C\| = 0$ if and only if the rows are identical.
- (ii) $\|\lambda C\| = \lambda \|C\|, \lambda \in (0,1)$
- (iii) $\|C + D\| = \|C\| + \|D\|, D \in A_{2 \times 2}$.

Then the system $A_{2 \times 2}$ together with this norm is called fuzzy transition Normed linear space.

2. BIPOLAR CUBIC FUZZY TRANSITION

Definition 2.1

Let V be a non-empty set. By a cubic bipolar fuzzy transition set $C = \langle [C^P, \lambda^P], [C^N, \lambda^N] \rangle$ in V is said to be an Internal cubic bipolar fuzzy transition set if

$$\begin{aligned} c_{11} &\{ \langle [S_\sigma^{Px}(u), S_\sigma^{Py}(u)], [S_\sigma^{Nx}(u), S_\sigma^{Ny}(u)], S_\sigma^P(u), S_\sigma^N(u) \rangle \} \\ &\leq \lambda \{ \langle [S_\sigma^{Px}(u), S_\sigma^{Py}(u)], [S_\sigma^{Nx}(u), S_\sigma^{Ny}(u)], S_\sigma^P(u), S_\sigma^N(u) \rangle \} \end{aligned}$$

JOURNAL OF ALGEBRAIC STATISTICS

Volume 13, No. 2, 2022, p. 2556 - 2568

<https://publishoa.com>

ISSN: 1309-3452

$$\leq c_{22} \{ < [S_\sigma^{Px}(u), S_\sigma^{Py}(u)], [S_\sigma^{Nx}(u), S_\sigma^{Ny}(u)], S_\sigma^P(u), S_\sigma^N(u) > \} \forall u \in V.$$

Definition 2.2

Let V be a non-empty set. By a cubic bipolar fuzzy transition set
External cubic bipolar fuzzy transition set if

$C = < [C^P, \lambda^P], [C^N, \lambda^N] >$ in V is said to be an

$$\lambda \{ < [S_\sigma^{Px}(u), S_\sigma^{Py}(u)], [S_\sigma^{Nx}(u), S_\sigma^{Ny}(u)], S_\sigma^P(u), S_\sigma^N(u) > \} \notin [c_{11}, c_{12}] \text{ and}$$

$$\lambda \{ < [S_\sigma^{Px}(u), S_\sigma^{Py}(u)], [S_\sigma^{Nx}(u), S_\sigma^{Ny}(u)], S_\sigma^P(u), S_\sigma^N(u) > \} \notin [c_{21}, c_{22}] \forall u \in V.$$

Example 2.3

Let $M =$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} < [0.1, 0.1], [-0.1, -0.1], [0.1, -0.1] > < [0.9, 0.9], [-0.9, -0.9], [0.9, -0.9] > \\ < [0.3, 0.3], [-0.3, -0.3], [0.3, -0.3] > < [0.7, 0.7], [-0.7, -0.7], [0.7, -0.7] > \end{bmatrix}$$

be the bipolar fuzzy transition matrix

$$\begin{aligned} |M| &= < M, M > \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} < [0.1, 0.1], [-0.1, -0.1], [0.1, -0.1] > < [0.9, 0.9], [-0.9, -0.9], [0.9, -0.9] > \\ < [0.3, 0.3], [-0.3, -0.3], [0.3, -0.3] > < [0.7, 0.7], [-0.7, -0.7], [0.7, -0.7] > \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad \begin{bmatrix} < [0.1, 0.1], [-0.1, -0.1], [0.1, -0.1] > < [0.9, 0.9], [-0.9, -0.9], [0.9, -0.9] > \\ < [0.3, 0.3], [-0.3, -0.3], [0.3, -0.3] > < [0.7, 0.7], [-0.7, -0.7], [0.7, -0.7] > \end{bmatrix} \\ &= < [0.1, 0.1], [-0.1, -0.1], [0.1, -0.1] > \oplus \\ &\quad < [0.7, 0.7], [-0.7, -0.7], [0.7, -0.7] > \oplus \\ &\quad < [0.7, 0.7], [-0.7, -0.7], [0.7, -0.7] > \oplus \\ &\quad < [0.9, 0.9], [-0.9, -0.9], [0.9, -0.9] > \\ &= (< [0.4, 0.4], [-0.4, -0.4], [0.4, -0.4] >) \in \\ (< [0.1, 0.1], [-0.1, -0.1], [0.1, -0.1] > < [0.9, 0.9], [-0.9, -0.9], [0.9, -0.9] >) \\ &= (< [0.4, 0.4], [-0.4, -0.4], [0.4, -0.4] >) \in \\ (< [0.3, 0.3], [-0.3, -0.3], [0.3, -0.3] > < [0.7, 0.7], [-0.7, -0.7], [0.7, -0.7] >) \end{aligned}$$

JOURNAL OF ALGEBRAIC STATISTICS

Volume 13, No. 2, 2022, p. 2556 - 2568

<https://publishoa.com>

ISSN: 1309-3452

Example 2.4

Let $M =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} < [0.1, 0.1], [-0.1, -0.1], [0.1, -0.1] > & < [0.9, 0.9], [-0.9, -0.9], [0.9, -0.9] > \\ < [0.3, 0.3], [-0.3, -0.3], [0.3, -0.3] > & < [0.7, 0.7], [-0.7, -0.7], [0.7, -0.7] > \end{bmatrix}$$

be the bipolar fuzzy transition matrix

$$\begin{aligned} |M| &= < M, M > \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} < [0.1, 0.1], [-0.1, -0.1], [0.1, -0.1] > & < [0.9, 0.9], [-0.9, -0.9], [0.9, -0.9] > \\ < [0.3, 0.3], [-0.3, -0.3], [0.3, -0.3] > & < [0.7, 0.7], [-0.7, -0.7], [0.7, -0.7] > \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad \begin{bmatrix} < [0.1, 0.1], [-0.1, -0.1], [0.1, -0.1] > & < [0.9, 0.9], [-0.9, -0.9], [0.9, -0.9] > \\ < [0.3, 0.3], [-0.3, -0.3], [0.3, -0.3] > & < [0.7, 0.7], [-0.7, -0.7], [0.7, -0.7] > \end{bmatrix} \\ &= < [0.9, 0.9], [-0.9, -0.9], [0.9, -0.9] > \oplus \\ &\quad < [0.8, 0.8], [-0.8, -0.8], [0.8, -0.8] > \oplus \\ &\quad < [0.8, 0.8], [-0.8, -0.8], [0.8, -0.8] > \oplus \\ &\quad < [0.6, 0.6], [-0.6, -0.6], [0.6, -0.6] > \\ &= < [0.1, 0.1], [-0.1, -0.1], [0.1, -0.1] > \\ &= \lambda \{ < [S_\sigma^{Px}(u), S_\sigma^{Py}(u)], [S_\sigma^{Nx}(u), S_\sigma^{Ny}(u)], S_\sigma^P(u), S_\sigma^N(u) > \} \end{aligned}$$

Here,

$$< [0.1, 0.1], [-0.1, -0.1], [0.1, -0.1] > \notin (< [0.3, 0.3], [-0.3, -0.3], [0.3, -0.3] > < [0.7, 0.7], [-0.7, -0.7], [0.7, -0.7] >)$$

and

$$[0.1, 0.1], [-0.1, -0.1], [0.1, -0.1] > \notin (< [0.4, 0.4], [-0.4, -0.4], [0.4, -0.4] > < [0.6, 0.6], [-0.6, -0.6], [0.6, -0.6] >)$$

Thus M is an External cubic bipolar fuzzy transition matrix.

Theorem 2.5

A family of Internal cubic fuzzy graphs is $\{G_i = < \sigma_i, \mu_i > / i \in I\}$. Then $\bigcup_{i \in I} G_i$ is an internal cubic fuzzy graph.

Proof:

JOURNAL OF ALGEBRAIC STATISTICS

Volume 13, No. 2, 2022, p. 2556 - 2568

<https://publishoa.com>

ISSN: 1309-3452

Let G_i is an internal cubic fuzzy graph. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} & <(S_{A\sigma}^{x^N}(v), S_{A\sigma}^{y^N}(v)), S_{A\sigma}^N(v)> \leq <(S_{B\sigma}(v), S_{B\sigma}(v)), S_{B\sigma}(v)> \\ & \leq <(S_{A\sigma}^{x^P}(v), S_{A\sigma}^{y^P}(v)), S_{A\sigma}^P(v)> \text{And} \\ & <(S_{A\mu}^{x^N}(e), S_{A\mu}^{y^N}(e)), S_{A\mu}^N(e)> \leq <(S_{B\mu}(e), S_{B\mu}(e)), S_{B\mu}(e)> \\ & \leq <(S_{A\mu}^{x^P}(e), S_{A\mu}^{y^P}(e)), S_{A\mu}^P(e)> \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\cup_{i \in I} <(S_{A\sigma}^{x^N}(v), S_{A\sigma}^{y^N}(v)), S_{A\sigma}^N(v)> \cup_{i \in I} <(S_{B\sigma}(v), S_{B\sigma}(v)), S_{B\sigma}(v)>) \leq \left(\left(\cup_{i \in I} <(S_{A\sigma}^{x^P}(v), S_{A\sigma}^{y^P}(v)), S_{A\sigma}^P(v)> \right) \text{And} \right. \\ & \quad \left. <(S_{A\mu}^{x^N}(e), S_{A\mu}^{y^N}(e)), S_{A\mu}^N(e)> \right) \leq \left(\bigvee_{i \in I} <(S_{B\mu}(v), S_{B\mu}(v)), S_{B\mu}(v)> \right) \\ & \leq \left(\cup_{i \in I} <(S_{A\mu}^{x^P}(e), S_{A\mu}^{y^P}(e)), S_{A\mu}^P(e)> \right) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\cup_{i \in I} G_i$ is an internal cubic fuzzy graph.

Theorem 2.6

Let $G = (\sigma, \mu)$ be a cubic fuzzy graph of Ω^* . If $G = (\sigma, \mu)$ is both an internal cubic fuzzy graph and an external cubic fuzzy graph.

Then

$$\left((S_{B\sigma}^x(v_i), S_{B\sigma}^y(v_i)), S_{B\sigma}(v_i) \right) \in U \{ <(s_{A\sigma}^x(v_i), s_{A\sigma}^y(v_i)), S_{A\sigma}(v_i)> \} \cup L \{ <(s_{A\sigma}^x(v_i), s_{A\sigma}^y(v_i)), S_{A\sigma}(v_i)> \}$$

And

$$\left((S_{B\mu}^x(e_i), S_{B\mu}^y(e_i)), S_{B\mu}(e_i) \right) \in U \{ <(s_{B\mu}^x(e_i), s_{B\mu}^y(e_i)), S_{B\mu}(e_i)> \} \cup L \{ <(s_{B\mu}^x(e_i), s_{B\mu}^y(e_i)), S_{B\mu}(e_i)> \} \text{ for all } v_i \in V \text{ and } e_i \in E \subseteq V \times V.$$

Where

$$U \{ <(s_{A\sigma}^x(v_i), s_{A\sigma}^y(v_i)), S_{A\sigma}(v_i)> \} = \{ <(S_{A\sigma}^{x^P}(v_i), S_{A\sigma}^{x^P}(v_i)), S_{A\sigma}^P(v_i)> | v_i \in P \},$$

$$L \{ <(s_{A\sigma}^x(v_i), s_{A\sigma}^y(v_i)), S_{A\sigma}(v_i)> \} = \{ <(S_{A\sigma}^{x^P}(v_i), S_{A\sigma}^{x^P}(v_i)), S_{A\sigma}^P(v_i)> | v_i \in P \}$$

And

$$U \{ <(s_{A\mu}^x(e_i), s_{A\mu}^y(e_i)), S_{A\mu}(e_i)> \} = \{ <(S_{A\mu}^{x^P}(e_i), S_{A\mu}^{x^P}(e_i)), S_{A\mu}^P(e_i)> | e_i \in P \},$$

$$L \{ <(s_{A\mu}^x(e_i), s_{A\mu}^y(e_i)), S_{A\mu}(e_i)> \} = \{ <(S_{A\mu}^{x^N}(e_i), S_{A\mu}^{x^N}(e_i)), S_{A\mu}^N(e_i)> | e_i \in P \}$$

Proof

Assume that $G = (\sigma, \mu)$ is both an internal cubic fuzzy graph and an external cubic fuzzy graph. Then by definition we have

JOURNAL OF ALGEBRAIC STATISTICS

Volume 13, No. 2, 2022, p. 2556 - 2568

<https://publishoa.com>

ISSN: 1309-3452

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\left(S_{B\sigma}^x(v_i), S_{B\sigma}^y(v_i) \right), S_{B\sigma}(v_i) \right) &\in \left\{ < \left(S_{A\sigma}^{x^N}(v_i), S_{A\sigma}^{x^N}(v_i) \right), S_{A\sigma}^N(v_i) >, < \left(S_{A\sigma}^{x^N}(v_i), S_{A\sigma}^{x^N}(v_i) \right), S_{A\sigma}^N(v_i) > \right\}, \\ \left(\left(S_{B\mu}^x(e_i), S_{B\mu}^y(e_i) \right), S_{B\mu}(e_i) \right) &\in \left\{ < \left(S_{A\mu}^{x^N}(e_i), S_{A\mu}^{x^N}(e_i) \right), S_{A\mu}^N(e_i) >, < \left(S_{A\mu}^{x^N}(e_i), S_{A\mu}^{x^N}(e_i) \right), S_{A\mu}^N(e_i) > \right\} \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\left(S_{B\sigma}^x(v_i), S_{B\sigma}^y(v_i) \right), S_{B\sigma}(v_i) \right) &\notin \left\{ < \left(S_{A\sigma}^{x^N}(v_i), S_{A\sigma}^{x^N}(v_i) \right), S_{A\sigma}^N(v_i) >, < \left(S_{A\sigma}^{x^N}(v_i), S_{A\sigma}^{x^N}(v_i) \right), S_{A\sigma}^N(v_i) > \right\}, \\ \left(\left(S_{B\mu}^x(e_i), S_{B\mu}^y(e_i) \right), S_{B\mu}(e_i) \right) &\notin \left\{ < \left(S_{A\mu}^{x^N}(e_i), S_{A\mu}^{x^N}(e_i) \right), S_{A\mu}^N(e_i) >, < \left(S_{A\mu}^{x^N}(e_i), S_{A\mu}^{x^N}(e_i) \right), S_{A\mu}^N(e_i) > \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\left(\left(S_{B\sigma}^x(v_i), S_{B\sigma}^y(v_i) \right), S_{B\sigma}(v_i) \right) = \left\{ < \left(S_{A\mu}^{x^N}(e_i), S_{A\mu}^{x^N}(e_i) \right), S_{A\mu}^N(e_i) > \right\}$$

Or

$$\left(\left(S_{B\sigma}^x(v_i), S_{B\sigma}^y(v_i) \right), S_{B\sigma}(v_i) \right) = \left\{ < \left(S_{A\mu}^{x^P}(e_i), S_{A\mu}^{x^P}(e_i) \right), S_{A\mu}^P(e_i) > \right\}$$

And

$$\left(\left(S_{B\mu}^x(e_i), S_{B\mu}^y(e_i) \right), S_{B\mu}(e_i) \right) = \left\{ < \left(S_{A\mu}^{x^N}(e_i), S_{A\mu}^{x^N}(e_i) \right), S_{A\mu}^N(e_i) > \right\}$$

Or

$$\left(\left(S_{B\mu}^x(e_i), S_{B\mu}^y(e_i) \right), S_{B\mu}(e_i) \right) = \left\{ < \left(S_{A\mu}^{x^P}(e_i), S_{A\mu}^{x^P}(e_i) \right), S_{A\mu}^P(e_i) > \right\}.$$

Hence

$$\left(\left(S_{B\sigma}^x(v_i), S_{B\sigma}^y(v_i) \right), S_{B\sigma}(v_i) \right) \in U \left\{ < \left(S_{A\sigma}^x(v_i), S_{A\sigma}^y(v_i) \right), S_{A\sigma}(v_i) > \right\} \cup L \left\{ < \left(S_{A\sigma}^x(v_i), S_{A\sigma}^y(v_i) \right), S_{A\sigma}(v_i) > \right\}$$

And

$$\left(\left(S_{B\mu}^x(e_i), S_{B\mu}^y(e_i) \right), S_{B\mu}(e_i) \right) \in U \left\{ < \left(S_{A\mu}^x(e_i), S_{A\mu}^y(e_i) \right), S_{A\mu}(e_i) > \right\} \cup L \left\{ < \left(S_{A\mu}^x(e_i), S_{A\mu}^y(e_i) \right), S_{A\mu}(e_i) > \right\}$$

For all $v_i \in V$ and $e_i \in E \subseteq V \times V$.

Consider two cubic fuzzy graphs $G_1 = < \sigma_1, \mu_1 >$ and $G_2 = < \sigma_2, \mu_2 >$ in Ω^* . If we exchange μ_{σ_1} by μ_{σ_2} and μ_{μ_1} by μ_{μ_2} we get the cubic fuzzy graph as $\widehat{G}_1 = < \widehat{\sigma}_1, \widehat{\mu}_1 >$ and $\widehat{G}_2 = < \widehat{\sigma}_2, \widehat{\mu}_2 >$ respectively. For any two internal cubic fuzzy graph (or) external cubic fuzzy graph G_1 and G_2 , two cubic fuzzy graphs \widehat{G}_1 and \widehat{G}_2 may not be internal cubic fuzzy graph and external cubic fuzzy graph.

Theorem 2.7

If B is the bipolar fuzzy transition matrix. Then $\|B\| = 0$ if and only if the rows are identical.

Proof:

$$\|B\| = < B, B >$$

JOURNAL OF ALGEBRAIC STATISTICS

Volume 13, No. 2, 2022, p. 2556 - 2568

<https://publishoa.com>

ISSN: 1309-3452

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left[\begin{array}{ll} < [S_{\sigma b}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^P(u), S_{\sigma b}^N(u) > & < [S_{\sigma c}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma c}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma c}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma c}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma c}^P(u), S_{\sigma c}^N(u) > \\ < [S_{\sigma d}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma d}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma d}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma d}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma d}^P(u), S_{\sigma d}^N(u) > & < [S_{\sigma e}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma e}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma e}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma e}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma e}^P(u), S_{\sigma e}^N(u) > \end{array} \right] \\
 &\quad \left[\begin{array}{ll} < [S_{\sigma b}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^P(u), S_{\sigma b}^N(u) > & < [S_{\sigma c}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma c}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma c}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma c}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma c}^P(u), S_{\sigma c}^N(u) > \\ < [S_{\sigma d}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma d}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma d}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma d}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma d}^P(u), S_{\sigma d}^N(u) > & < [S_{\sigma e}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma e}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma e}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma e}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma e}^P(u), S_{\sigma e}^N(u) > \end{array} \right] \\
 &= (< [S_{\sigma b}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^P(u), S_{\sigma b}^N(u) >)^2 \\
 &\quad \oplus ((< [S_{\sigma b}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^P(u), S_{\sigma b}^N(u) \\
 &\quad >)(< [S_{\sigma c}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma c}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma c}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma c}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma c}^P(u), S_{\sigma c}^N(u) >)) \\
 &\quad \oplus ((< [S_{\sigma b}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^P(u), S_{\sigma b}^N(u) \\
 &\quad >)(< [S_{\sigma c}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma c}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma c}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma c}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma c}^P(u), S_{\sigma c}^N(u) >)) \\
 &\quad \oplus (< [S_{\sigma c}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma c}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma c}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma c}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma c}^P(u), S_{\sigma c}^N(u) >)^2 \\
 &= (< [S_{\sigma b}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^P(u), S_{\sigma b}^N(u) >)^2 \\
 &\quad \oplus (< [S_{\sigma b}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^P(u), S_{\sigma b}^N(u) \\
 &\quad >) \left(1 - (< [S_{\sigma b}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^P(u), S_{\sigma b}^N(u) >) \right) \\
 &\quad \oplus (< [S_{\sigma b}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^P(u), S_{\sigma b}^N(u) \\
 &\quad >) \left(1 - (< [S_{\sigma b}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^P(u), S_{\sigma b}^N(u) >) \right) \\
 &\quad \oplus (< [S_{\sigma b}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^P(u), S_{\sigma b}^N(u) \\
 &\quad >) \left(1 - (< [S_{\sigma b}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^P(u), S_{\sigma b}^N(u) >) \right)^2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (< [S_{\sigma b}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^P(u), S_{\sigma b}^N(u) \\
 &\quad >) (1 - (< [S_{\sigma b}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^P(u), S_{\sigma b}^N(u) >))^2 \\
 &\quad \oplus (< [S_{\sigma b}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^P(u), S_{\sigma b}^N(u) \\
 &\quad >) (1 - (< [S_{\sigma b}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^P(u), S_{\sigma b}^N(u) >)) \\
 &\quad \ominus (< [S_{\sigma b}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^P(u), S_{\sigma b}^N(u) \\
 &\quad >) (1 - (< [S_{\sigma b}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^P(u), S_{\sigma b}^N(u) >))^2 \\
 &\quad \oplus (< [S_{\sigma b}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^P(u), S_{\sigma b}^N(u) \\
 &\quad >) (1 - (< [S_{\sigma b}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^P(u), S_{\sigma b}^N(u) >)) \\
 &\quad \ominus (< [S_{\sigma b}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^P(u), S_{\sigma b}^N(u) \\
 &\quad >) (1 - (< [S_{\sigma b}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^P(u), S_{\sigma b}^N(u) >))^2 \\
 &\quad \oplus (< [S_{\sigma b}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^P(u), S_{\sigma b}^N(u) \\
 &\quad >) (1 - (< [S_{\sigma b}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^P(u), S_{\sigma b}^N(u) >)) \\
 &\quad \ominus 2(< [S_{\sigma b}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^P(u), S_{\sigma b}^N(u) \\
 &\quad >) (1 - (< [S_{\sigma b}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^P(u), S_{\sigma b}^N(u) >)) = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.8

If A is the bipolar fuzzy transition matrix. Then $\|A\|$ has the same value if the rows of A are interchanged.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|A\| &= < A, A > \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} < [S_{\sigma a}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma a}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma a}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma a}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma a}^P(u), S_{\sigma a}^N(u) > & < [S_{\sigma b}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^P(u), S_{\sigma b}^N(u) > \\ < [S_{\sigma c}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma c}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma c}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma c}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma c}^P(u), S_{\sigma c}^N(u) > & < [S_{\sigma d}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma d}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma d}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma d}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma d}^P(u), S_{\sigma d}^N(u) > \end{bmatrix} \\
 &\quad \begin{bmatrix} < [S_{\sigma a}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma a}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma a}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma a}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma a}^P(u), S_{\sigma a}^N(u) > & < [S_{\sigma b}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^P(u), S_{\sigma b}^N(u) > \\ < [S_{\sigma c}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma c}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma c}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma c}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma c}^P(u), S_{\sigma c}^N(u) > & < [S_{\sigma d}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma d}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma d}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma d}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma d}^P(u), S_{\sigma d}^N(u) > \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= (< [S_{\sigma a}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma a}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma a}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma a}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma a}^P(u), S_{\sigma a}^N(u) >)^2 \oplus \\
 &\quad ((< [S_{\sigma b}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^P(u), S_{\sigma b}^N(u) >) (< [S_{\sigma c}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma c}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma c}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma c}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma c}^P(u), S_{\sigma c}^N(u) >) \\
 &\quad \oplus (< [S_{\sigma b}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^P(u), S_{\sigma b}^N(u) \\
 &\quad >) (< [S_{\sigma c}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma c}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma c}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma c}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma c}^P(u), S_{\sigma c}^N(u) >) \\
 &\quad \oplus (< [S_{\sigma d}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma d}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma d}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma d}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma d}^P(u), S_{\sigma d}^N(u) >)^2
 \end{aligned}$$

JOURNAL OF ALGEBRAIC STATISTICS

Volume 13, No. 2, 2022, p. 2556 - 2568

<https://publishoa.com>

ISSN: 1309-3452

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (\langle [S_{\sigma a}^{px}(u), S_{\sigma a}^{py}(u)], [S_{\sigma a}^{nx}(u), S_{\sigma a}^{ny}(u)], S_{\sigma a}^p(u), S_{\sigma a}^n(u) \rangle)^2 \\
 &\quad \oplus 2(\langle [S_{\sigma b}^{px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^p(u), S_{\sigma b}^n(u) \rangle \\
 &\quad \quad \times) (\langle [S_{\sigma c}^{px}(u), S_{\sigma c}^{py}(u)], [S_{\sigma c}^{nx}(u), S_{\sigma c}^{ny}(u)], S_{\sigma c}^p(u), S_{\sigma c}^n(u) \rangle) \\
 &\quad \oplus (\langle [S_{\sigma d}^{px}(u), S_{\sigma d}^{py}(u)], [S_{\sigma d}^{nx}(u), S_{\sigma d}^{ny}(u)], S_{\sigma d}^p(u), S_{\sigma d}^n(u) \rangle)^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we interchange the rows of A

$$| |A| | = \langle A, A \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left[\begin{array}{ll} \langle [S_{\sigma c}^{px}(u), S_{\sigma c}^{py}(u)], [S_{\sigma c}^{nx}(u), S_{\sigma c}^{ny}(u)], S_{\sigma c}^p(u), S_{\sigma c}^n(u) \rangle & \langle [S_{\sigma d}^{px}(u), S_{\sigma d}^{py}(u)], [S_{\sigma d}^{nx}(u), S_{\sigma d}^{ny}(u)], S_{\sigma d}^p(u), S_{\sigma d}^n(u) \rangle \\ \langle [S_{\sigma e}^{px}(u), S_{\sigma e}^{py}(u)], [S_{\sigma e}^{nx}(u), S_{\sigma e}^{ny}(u)], S_{\sigma e}^p(u), S_{\sigma e}^n(u) \rangle & \langle [S_{\sigma f}^{px}(u), S_{\sigma f}^{py}(u)], [S_{\sigma f}^{nx}(u), S_{\sigma f}^{ny}(u)], S_{\sigma f}^p(u), S_{\sigma f}^n(u) \rangle \end{array} \right] \\
 &\quad \left[\begin{array}{ll} \langle [S_{\sigma c}^{px}(u), S_{\sigma c}^{py}(u)], [S_{\sigma c}^{nx}(u), S_{\sigma c}^{ny}(u)], S_{\sigma c}^p(u), S_{\sigma c}^n(u) \rangle & \langle [S_{\sigma d}^{px}(u), S_{\sigma d}^{py}(u)], [S_{\sigma d}^{nx}(u), S_{\sigma d}^{ny}(u)], S_{\sigma d}^p(u), S_{\sigma d}^n(u) \rangle \\ \langle [S_{\sigma e}^{px}(u), S_{\sigma e}^{py}(u)], [S_{\sigma e}^{nx}(u), S_{\sigma e}^{ny}(u)], S_{\sigma e}^p(u), S_{\sigma e}^n(u) \rangle & \langle [S_{\sigma f}^{px}(u), S_{\sigma f}^{py}(u)], [S_{\sigma f}^{nx}(u), S_{\sigma f}^{ny}(u)], S_{\sigma f}^p(u), S_{\sigma f}^n(u) \rangle \end{array} \right] \\
 &= (\langle [S_{\sigma c}^{px}(u), S_{\sigma c}^{py}(u)], [S_{\sigma c}^{nx}(u), S_{\sigma c}^{ny}(u)], S_{\sigma c}^p(u), S_{\sigma c}^n(u) \rangle)^2 \\
 &\quad \oplus ((\langle [S_{\sigma a}^{px}(u), S_{\sigma a}^{py}(u)], [S_{\sigma a}^{nx}(u), S_{\sigma a}^{ny}(u)], S_{\sigma a}^p(u), S_{\sigma a}^n(u) \rangle \\
 &\quad \quad \times) (\langle [S_{\sigma d}^{px}(u), S_{\sigma d}^{py}(u)], [S_{\sigma d}^{nx}(u), S_{\sigma d}^{ny}(u)], S_{\sigma d}^p(u), S_{\sigma d}^n(u) \rangle)) \\
 &\quad \oplus ((\langle [S_{\sigma a}^{px}(u), S_{\sigma a}^{py}(u)], [S_{\sigma a}^{nx}(u), S_{\sigma a}^{ny}(u)], S_{\sigma a}^p(u), S_{\sigma a}^n(u) \rangle \\
 &\quad \quad \times) (\langle [S_{\sigma d}^{px}(u), S_{\sigma d}^{py}(u)], [S_{\sigma d}^{nx}(u), S_{\sigma d}^{ny}(u)], S_{\sigma d}^p(u), S_{\sigma d}^n(u) \rangle)) \\
 &\quad \oplus (\langle [S_{\sigma b}^{px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^p(u), S_{\sigma b}^n(u) \rangle)^2 \\
 &= (\langle [S_{\sigma c}^{px}(u), S_{\sigma c}^{py}(u)], [S_{\sigma c}^{nx}(u), S_{\sigma c}^{ny}(u)], S_{\sigma c}^p(u), S_{\sigma c}^n(u) \rangle)^2 \\
 &\quad \oplus 2((\langle [S_{\sigma a}^{px}(u), S_{\sigma a}^{py}(u)], [S_{\sigma a}^{nx}(u), S_{\sigma a}^{ny}(u)], S_{\sigma a}^p(u), S_{\sigma a}^n(u) \rangle \\
 &\quad \quad \times) (\langle [S_{\sigma d}^{px}(u), S_{\sigma d}^{py}(u)], [S_{\sigma d}^{nx}(u), S_{\sigma d}^{ny}(u)], S_{\sigma d}^p(u), S_{\sigma d}^n(u) \rangle)) \\
 &\quad \oplus (\langle [S_{\sigma b}^{px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^p(u), S_{\sigma b}^n(u) \rangle)^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\langle [S_{\sigma a}^{px}(u), S_{\sigma a}^{py}(u)], [S_{\sigma a}^{nx}(u), S_{\sigma a}^{ny}(u)], S_{\sigma a}^p(u), S_{\sigma a}^n(u) \rangle) - (\langle [S_{\sigma c}^{px}(u), S_{\sigma c}^{py}(u)], [S_{\sigma c}^{nx}(u), S_{\sigma c}^{ny}(u)], S_{\sigma c}^p(u), S_{\sigma c}^n(u) \rangle) \\
 &= ((\langle [S_{\sigma d}^{px}(u), S_{\sigma d}^{py}(u)], [S_{\sigma d}^{nx}(u), S_{\sigma d}^{ny}(u)], S_{\sigma d}^p(u), S_{\sigma d}^n(u) \rangle) \\
 &\quad - (\langle [S_{\sigma b}^{px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^p(u), S_{\sigma b}^n(u) \rangle))
 \end{aligned}$$

JOURNAL OF ALGEBRAIC STATISTICS

Volume 13, No. 2, 2022, p. 2556 - 2568

<https://publishoa.com>

ISSN: 1309-3452

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\langle [S_{\sigma a}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma a}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma a}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma a}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma a}^P(u), S_{\sigma a}^N(u) \rangle \right)^2 \\
&\quad + \left(\langle [S_{\sigma d}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma d}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma d}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma d}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma d}^P(u), S_{\sigma d}^N(u) \rangle \right)^2 \\
&\quad + 2 \left(\left(\langle [S_{\sigma b}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma}^P(u), S_{\sigma}^N(u) \rangle \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. > \right) \left(\langle [S_{\sigma a}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma a}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma a}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma a}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma}^P(u), S_{\sigma}^N(u) \rangle \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - (\delta \langle [S_{\sigma}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma}^P(u), S_{\sigma}^N(u) \rangle) \right) \right) \\
\\
&= \left(\langle [S_{\sigma a}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma a}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma a}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma a}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma a}^P(u), S_{\sigma a}^N(u) \rangle \right)^2 \\
&\quad \oplus \left(\langle [S_{\sigma d}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma d}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma d}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma d}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma d}^P(u), S_{\sigma d}^N(u) \rangle \right)^2 \\
&\quad \oplus \left(\langle [S_{\sigma b}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma b}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma b}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma b}^P(u), S_{\sigma b}^N(u) \rangle \right. \\
&\quad \left. > \right) \left(\langle [S_{\sigma c}^{Px}(u), S_{\sigma c}^{Py}(u)], [S_{\sigma c}^{Nx}(u), S_{\sigma c}^{Ny}(u)], S_{\sigma c}^P(u), S_{\sigma c}^N(u) \rangle \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Conclusion:

In this paper, new concepts like internal cubic fuzzy graph and external cubic fuzzy graph are introduced. The family of internal cubic fuzzy graph is an internal cubic fuzzy graph is discussed. And cubic bipolar fuzzy transition sets, Internal and External transition set and interesting results on them are provided by means of examples and theorems.

References:

- [1] Akram. M and Dudec.W.A, “Interval-valued fuzzy graphs”, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 61 (2011), 289-299.
- [2] Akram. M, Bipolar fuzzy graphs, Information Sciences, vol. 181, no.24, pp.5548-5564, 2011.
- [3] Akram. M, Nasir. M, Concepts of Interval-valued Neutrosophic Graphs. Int. J. Algebra Stat. 2017, 6, 22-41.
- [4] Akram. M, Rafique. S, Davvaz. B, New concepts in neutrosophic graphs with application. J. Appl. Math. comput. 2018, 57, 279 -302.
- [5] Ali Asghar TaleBI, Hossein Ranhamloa, Young Bae Jun, Some Operations on bipolar fuzzy graphs, AFMI, Vol.
- [6] Bhattacharya. P, “Some remarks on fuzzy graphs”, Pattern Recognition Letters, 6 (1987), 297-302.
- [7] Jun. Y.B. Lee KJ and Kang MS, Cubic Structures applied to ideals of BCI algebras comput. math. Appl. 62 (9), (2011) 3334-3342.
- [8] Jun. Y.B., Kim CS, Yang. Ko, Cubic sets, Ann, Fuzzy math, Inf. 4(1)(2012) 83-98.
- [9] Mordeson. J.N, Peng. C.S, “Operations on fuzzy graphs”, Information Sciences, 79 (1994), 159-170.
- [10] Parvathi Rangasamy, M.G. Karunambigai, Operations on intuitionistic fuzzy graphs, conference paper in international journal of computer Applications 51(5): 1396-1401, January 2009.
- [11] Parvathi. R, Karunambigai. M.G, 2006, “Intuitionistic fuzzy graph,” computational intelligence, Theory and applications, pp.139-150.
- [12] Pramanik. T, Pal. M, and Mondal. S, Interval valued fuzzy threshold graph, Pac. Sci. Rev. A. Nat. Sci. Eng., 18(1) (2016) 62-71.
- [13] Rashid. S, Yagoob. N, Akram. M, Gulistan. M, Cubic Graphs with Application. Int. J. Anal. Appl. 2018, in press.
- [14] Rashmanlou. H and Pal. M, “Some properties of highly irregular interval-valued fuzzy graphs”, World Applied Sciences Journal, 27(12)(2013), 1756-1773.
- [15] Rashmanlou. H, Samanta. S, Pal. S and Borzooei. A, “A study on bipolar fuzzy graphs”, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems, 28(2015), 571-580.
- [16] Rosenfield. A, Fuzzy graphs, in: Zadeh. L.A, Fu. K.S, Shimura. M(Eds.), “Fuzzy Sets and Their Applications”, Academic Press, New York, (1975), 77-95.

JOURNAL OF ALGEBRAIC STATISTICS

Volume 13, No. 2, 2022, p. 2556 - 2568

<https://publishoa.com>

ISSN: 1309-3452

[17] Sahoo. S, Pal. M(2015), Intuitionistic fuzzy competition graph. Journal of Applied mathematics and computing 52(1): 37-57.

[18] Vijiyabalaji and Sivaramakrishnan. S, A cubic set theoretical approach to linear space, Abstr. Appl. Annl., 2015, Article ID 523129, 8 pages.