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#### Abstract

In the present paper, we focused on finding an initial basic feasible solution for transportation problems. The new method is compared to four different proposed mean methods namely, Proposed Arithmetic Mean (PAM), Proposed Harmonic Mean (PHM), Proposed Geometric Mean (PGM) and Proposed Quadratic Mean (PQM) methods along with existing traditional methods like North West Corner Method (NWCM), Least Cost Method (LCM) and Vogel Approximation Method (VAM). Also, the deviation percentage of the outcomes has been figured out in contrast to distinct methods. The approach thus developed, involves elementary arithmetic and statistics, which could be of keen interest even for beginners and is beneficial to decision makers dealing with the problem of supply chain as well as logistics. Suitable examples are given for examining optimality and to validate the results obtained.
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## 1. Introduction

A transportation problem is distinctive type of linear programming problem, where the intent is to cut down the cost of spreading products from number of sources (like- factories) to number of destinations (like-warehouse) while balancing both the supply limits and demand requirements. A transportation problem is named such, as many of its applications sought to determine the way for transporting goods optimally. It is logistical problem for organizations like manufacturing and transport companies. Whenever there is physical movement of goods from the producer or manufacturer to final consumer through various channels of distribution, there is need to cut down the operative cost so as to scale up profit on sales. Due to these reasons transportation problem has applicability in many disciplines such as minimizing shipping cost, determining low cost allocation, finding minimum cost production plan, in military distribution system and many more. Hence, it has very great significance in sphere of economy and mathematics. The Transportation problem was formalized by Gaspard Monge in 1781. A N Tolstoi in 1920, was the first to study Transportation problem mathematically. Major advancement was made in transportation problem theory by soviet mathematician Leonid Kantorovich during World War 2. Many other mathematicians like Hitchcock, Koopman, Charnes and Cooper have worked on such kind of problems and made significant contribution to this field. In the recent times, when industries and factories are growing at fast pace and as they are expanding every second, it is necessary to find a special method of solution which eases situation for policy makers.

## 2. Algorithm of the New Method

Step 1- Check whether the given transportation problem is balanced or not, if not balance it.
Step 2- Now look in columns $D_{1}, D_{2}$ and select the least cost element from them. Subtract it in column $D_{1 .}$ Similarly, apply the same process for columns $\left(D_{2}, D_{3}\right),\left(D_{3}, D_{4}\right)$ and $\left(D_{4}, D_{1}\right)$.
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Step 3- Calculate the arithmetic mean for each row i.e. for $S_{1}, S_{2}$ and $S_{3}$ and write calculated arithmetic mean along the right side of the table against the corresponding row and similarly calculate the arithmetic mean for each column i.e. for $D_{1}, D_{2}, D_{3}$ and $D_{4}$ then write the calculated arithmetic mean just below the corresponding columns of the table.

Step 4- Select the highest calculated arithmetic mean and then choose the corresponding row or column in which it lies. Look for the least cost element from the chosen row or column and then allocate supply or demand whichever is minimum in the least cost cell.

Step 5- Repeat the step3 and step 4 till all rows and columns are exhausted.
Step 6- Now reallocate the allocations thus obtained to the matrix of original transportation problem.
Step 7- Finally, compute the corresponding transportation cost of the transportation problem.
EXAMPLE -1: Consider the transportation problem formulated in following table;

|  | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | SUPPLY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 4 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 40 |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 6 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 60 |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 5 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 50 |
| DEMAND | 20 | 30 | 50 | 50 | 150 |

Step 1- Check whether the given transportation problem is balanced or not, if not balance it.

|  | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | SUPPLY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 4 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 40 |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 6 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 60 |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 5 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 50 |
| DEMAND | 20 | 30 | 50 | 50 | 150 |

Step 2- Now look in columns $D_{1}, D_{2}$ and select the least cost element from them. Subtract it in column $D_{1}$. Similarly, apply the same process for columns $\left(D_{2}, D_{3}\right),\left(D_{3}, D_{4}\right)$ and $\left(D_{4}, D_{1}\right)$.

|  | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | SUPPLY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 40 |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 60 |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 50 |
| DEMAND | 20 | 30 | 50 | 50 |  |

Step 3- Calculate the arithmetic mean for each row i.e. for $S_{1}, S_{2}$ and $S_{3}$ and write calculated arithmetic mean along the right side of the table against the corresponding row. Calculate the arithmetic mean for each column i.e. for $D_{1}, D_{2}, D_{3}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ then write the calculated arithmetic mean just below the corresponding columns of the table.

|  | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | SUPPLY | $\frac{\boldsymbol{\sum}_{i=\mathbf{1}}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}}{\boldsymbol{n}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 40 | 1.5 |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 60 | 1.75 |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 50 | 1.5 |
| DEMAND | 20 | 30 | 50 | 50 |  |  |
| $\frac{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}}{\boldsymbol{n}}$ | 1 | 1 | 0.66 | 3.66 |  |  |
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Step 4- Select the highest calculated arithmetic mean and then choose the corresponding row or column in which it lies. Look for the least cost element from the chosen row or column and then allocate supply or demand whichever is minimum in the least cost cell.

|  | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | SUPPLY | $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}}{\boldsymbol{n}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 40 | 1.5 |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 2 | 2 | 0 | $3(50)$ | $60 / 10$ | 1.75 |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 50 | 1.5 |
| DEMAND | 20 | 30 | 50 | 5010 |  |  |
| $\frac{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i=\mathbf{1}}^{\boldsymbol{n}} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}}{\boldsymbol{n}}$ | 1 | 1 | 0.66 | 3.66 |  |  |

Step 5- Repeat the step3 and step 4 till all rows and columns are exhausted.

|  | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | SUPPLY | $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}}{\boldsymbol{n}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 40 | 0.66 |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 2 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 1.33 |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 50 | 0.66 |
| DEMAND | 20 | 30 | 50 |  |  |
| $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\boldsymbol{n}} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}}{\boldsymbol{n}}$ | 1 | 1 | 0.66 |  |  |


|  | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | SUPPLY | $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}}{\boldsymbol{n}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 40 | 0.66 |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{Z}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | $0(10)$ | $10 / 0$ | 1.33 |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 50 | 0.66 |
| DEMAND | 20 | 30 | $50 / 40$ |  |  |
| $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}}{\boldsymbol{n}}$ | 1 | 1 | 0.66 |  |  |


|  | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | SUPPLY | $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}}{\boldsymbol{n}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 40 | 0.66 |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 50 | 0.66 |
| DEMAND | 20 | 30 | 40 |  |  |
| $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}}{\boldsymbol{n}}$ | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1 |  |  |


|  | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | SUPPLY | $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}}{\boldsymbol{n}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S1 | 0 | 0 | $z$ | 40 | 0.66 |
| S2 | 1 | 1 | $0(40)$ | $50 / 10$ | 0.66 |
| DEMAND | 20 | 30 | $50 / 40 / 0$ |  |  |
| $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}}{\boldsymbol{n}}$ | 0.5 | 0.5 | 4 |  |  |
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|  | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | SUPPLY | $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}}{\boldsymbol{n}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S1 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 |
| S2 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 1 |
| DEMAND | 20 | 30 |  |  |
| $\frac{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}}{\boldsymbol{n}}$ | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |  |


|  | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | SUPPLY | $\frac{\boldsymbol{\sum}_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}}{\boldsymbol{n}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $1(10)$ | 4 | 1010 | 4 |
| DEMAND | $20 / 10$ | 30 |  |  |
| $\frac{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}}{\boldsymbol{n}}$ | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |  |


|  | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | SUPPLY | $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}}{\boldsymbol{n}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 |
| DEMAND | 10 | 30 |  |  |
| $\frac{\boldsymbol{\sum}_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}}{\boldsymbol{n}}$ | 0 | 0 |  |  |


|  | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | SUPPLY | $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}}{\boldsymbol{n}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\theta(10)$ | 0 | $40 / 30$ | 0 |
| DEMAND | $10 / \theta$ | 30 |  |  |
| $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}}{\boldsymbol{n}}$ | $\theta$ | 0 |  |  |


|  | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | SUPPLY | $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}}{\boldsymbol{n}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 0 | $40 / 30$ | 0 |
| DEMAND | 30 |  |  |
| $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}}{\boldsymbol{n}}$ | 0 |  |  |


|  | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | SUPPLY | $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}}{\boldsymbol{n}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $0(30)$ | $40 / 30 / 0$ | 0 |
| DEMAND | $30 / 0$ |  |  |
| $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}}{\boldsymbol{n}}$ | 0 |  |  |
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Step 6- Now reallocate the allocations thus, obtained to the matrix of original transportation problem.

|  | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | SUPPLY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $4(10)$ | $6(30)$ | 8 | 8 | $40 / 30 / 0$ |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 6 | 8 | $6(10)$ | $7(50)$ | $60 / 10 / 0$ |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $5(10)$ | 7 | $6(40)$ | 8 | $50 / 10 / 0$ |
| DEMAND | $20 / 10 / 0$ | $30 / 0$ | $50 / 40 / 10 / 0$ | $50 / 0$ | 150 |

Step 7- Finally, compute the corresponding transportation cost of the transportation problem.
So, Minimum TC $z=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{i j} c_{i j}$
$=4 \times 10+6 \times 30+6 \times 10+7 \times 50+5 \times 10+6 \times 40$
$=40+180+60+350+50+240=920$
EXAMPLE -2: Consider the transportation problem formulated in following table;

|  | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | SUPPLY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 12 | 8 | 11 | 18 | 11 | 6 |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 14 | 22 | 8 | 12 | 14 | 2 |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 14 | 14 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 4 |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 19 | 11 | 14 | 17 | 15 | 10 |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | 13 | 9 | 17 | 20 | 11 | 9 |
| DEMAND | 2 | 8 | 7 | 10 | 4 |  |


|  | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | SUPPLY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $12(2)$ | 8 | $11(4)$ | 18 | 11 | $6 / 4 / 0$ |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 14 | 22 | $8(2)$ | 12 | 14 | $2 / 0$ |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 14 | 14 | 16 | $14(4)$ | 15 | $4 / 0$ |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 19 | $11(3)$ | $14(1)$ | $17(6)$ | 15 | $10 / 9 / 3 / 0$ |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | 13 | $9(5)$ | 17 | 20 | $11(4)$ | $9 / 4 / 0$ |
| DEMAND | $2 / 0$ | $8 / 5 / 0$ | $7 / 5 / 1 / 0$ | $10 / 6 / 0$ | $4 / 0$ |  |

So, Minimum TC $z=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{i j} c_{i j}$
$=12 \times 2+11 \times 4+8 \times 2+14 \times 4+11 \times 3+14 \times 1+17 \times 6+9 \times 5$
$=24+44+16+56+33+14+102+45+44$
$=378$

## 3. Comparison Table

| Table <br> no. | Problem <br> size | NWCM | LCM | VAM | HARM <br> ONIC <br> MEAN | GEOMETRI <br> C MEAN | QUADRATI <br> C MEAN | ARITHMETI <br> C MEAN | NEW <br> metho <br> d | MO <br> DI <br> met <br> hod |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 .}$ | $\mathbf{3 \times 4}$ | 980 | 960 | 960 | 920 | 920 | 920 | 920 | $\mathbf{9 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 .}$ | $\mathbf{5 \times 5}$ | 459 | 383 | 383 | 385 | 385 | 385 | 385 | $\mathbf{3 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 8}$ |
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Solution and deviation values of the method

| Name | NWCM | LCM | VAM | HARMONIC <br> MEAN | GEOMETRIC <br> MEAN | QUADRATIC <br> MEAN | ARITHMETIC <br> MEAN | NEW <br> method | MODI <br> method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pro 1 <br> Sol.(dev\%) | 980 | 960 | 960 | 920 | 920 | 920 | 920 | $\mathbf{9 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 0}$ |
| Pro 2 <br> Sol.(dev\%) | 459 | 383 | 383 | 385 | $0.52 \%$ | $4.35 \%$ | $4.35 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## 4. Conclusion

The new algorithm thus established, reduces the iterative steps to find initial basic feasible solution. Further, we have compared the result obtained with different existing methods of transportation problems such as NWCM, LCM, VAM including different proposed means methods. Consequently, we found that the outcome is either very close or exactly same as that of MODI's method.
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