

PARTIAL SUMS FOR SUBCLASS OF HARMONIC UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS

U.Vadivelan¹, Thomas Rosy² and S.Sunil Varma³

¹Department of Mathematics
 Nazareth College of Arts and Science
 Avadi, Chennai - 600062
 Tamil Nadu, India.

^{2,3}Department of Mathematics
 Madras Christian College
 Tambaram, Chennai - 600059
 Tamil Nadu, India.

E-mail : sunu.79@yahoo.com , thomas.rosy@gmail.com, vadivelanu@gmail.com.

Abstract: In this paper we determine the lower bounds of harmonic univalent functions to its partial sums for the class $L_H(\beta)$.

Keywords and Phrases: Univalent Function, Harmonic Functions.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30C45

1 Introduction

A continuous complex valued function defined in a simply connected domain D is said to be harmonic in D if both u and v are real harmonic in D , the harmonic function has a unique representation $f = h + \bar{g}$, where h and g are analytic and co analytic part of f respectively. The Jacobian of $f = h + \bar{g}$ is given by $J_f(z) = |h'(z)|^2 - |g'(z)|^2$. The mapping $z \rightarrow f(z)$ is orientation preserving and locally 1 - 1 if D if and only if $J_f(z) > 0$ in D see [1].

Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the family of normalized functions $f = h + \bar{g}$ that are harmonic, orientation preserving and univalent in the open unit disk $\Delta = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ [2, 3] where

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n \quad (1)$$

here $z' = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(z = re^{i\theta})$, $f'(z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(f(z)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(f(re^{i\theta}))$, $0 \leq r < 1$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

see [8] For $0 \leq \beta < 1$, we consider the subclass $L_H(\beta)$ of harmonic univalent functions $f = h + \bar{g}$ satisfying the condition

$$Re\left\{(1 + e^{i\alpha})\left(\frac{z^2 f''(z) - z f'(z)}{f(z)}\right) - e^{i\alpha}\right\} \geq \beta$$

Further let $L_{\bar{H}}(\beta)$ denote the subclass of $L_H(\beta)$ consisting of functions such that

$$h(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n, g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b_n| z^n \quad (2)$$

Initially we recall certain standard results of sub-classes of $\mathcal{S}$ and sufficient conditions for a function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ to be in these subclasses. The sufficient condition for the function $f = h + \bar{g}$ given by (2) to be in $L_{\bar{H}}(\beta)$ is that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2k^2 - 1 - \beta}{1 - \beta} |a_k| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2k^2 + 1 + \beta}{1 - \beta} |b_k| \leq 2. \quad (3)$$

Several authors studied the partial sums of analytic univalent functions [5, 6], the analogous results on partial sums on harmonic univalent functions were studied by Saurabh Porwal [4], Saurabh Porwal and Kaushal Kishore Dixit [7] In this paper we extend the results to the class $L_H(\beta)$. Now, we let the sequence of partial sums of the functions of the form (1) with $b_1 = 0$ are

$$\begin{aligned} f_m(z) &= z + \sum_{k=2}^m a_k z^k + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \overline{b_k z^k}. \\ f_n(z) &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k + \sum_{k=2}^n \overline{b_k z^k}. \\ f_{m,n}(z) &= z + \sum_{k=2}^m a_k z^k + \sum_{k=2}^n \overline{b_k z^k}. \end{aligned}$$

In this paper, we determine the lower bounds for $Re\left\{\frac{f(z)}{f_m(z)}\right\}$, $Re\left\{\frac{f_m(z)}{f(z)}\right\}$, $Re\left\{\frac{f'(z)}{f'_m(z)}\right\}$, $Re\left\{\frac{f'_m(z)}{f'(z)}\right\}$, $Re\left\{\frac{f(z)}{f_n(z)}\right\}$, $Re\left\{\frac{f_n(z)}{f(z)}\right\}$, $Re\left\{\frac{f(z)}{f_{m,n}(z)}\right\}$, $Re\left\{\frac{f_{m,n}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}$, $Re\left\{\frac{f'(z)}{f'_{m,n}(z)}\right\}$, $Re\left\{\frac{f'_{m,n}(z)}{f'(z)}\right\}$.
Where $f'(z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(re^{i\theta})$.

2 Main Results

In this section we obtain the lower bound for $Re\left\{\frac{f(z)}{f_m(z)}\right\}$.

Theorem 2.1: If f of the form (1) with $b_1 = 0$, satisfying condition (2), then

$$Re\left\{\frac{f(z)}{f_m(z)}\right\} \geq \frac{2(m+1)^2 - 2}{2(m+1)^2 - 1 - \beta} \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (4)$$

The result (4) is sharp with the function given by

$$f(z) = z + \frac{1 - \beta}{2(m+1)^2 - 1 - \beta} z^{m+1}. \quad (5)$$

Proof 2.1: We may write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} &= \frac{2(m+1)^2 - 1 - \beta}{1 - \beta} \left[\frac{f(re^{i\theta})}{f_m(re^{i\theta})} - \frac{2(m+1)^2 - 2}{2(m+1)^2 - 1 - \beta} \right] \\ &= \frac{1 + \sum_{k=2}^m a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \overline{b_k} r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \frac{2(m+1)^2 - 1 - \beta}{1 - \beta} \left[\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} \right]}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^m a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \overline{b_k} r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta}} \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} w(z) &= \frac{\frac{2(m+1)^2 - 1 - \beta}{1 - \beta} \left[\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} \right]}{2 + 2 \left(\sum_{k=2}^m a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \overline{b_k} r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} \right) + \frac{2(m+1)^2 - 1 - \beta}{1 - \beta} \left(\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} \right)} \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |w(z)| &= \frac{\frac{2(m+1)^2 - 1 - \beta}{1 - \beta} \left[\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k| \right]}{2 - 2 \left(\sum_{k=2}^m |a_k| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |b_k| \right) - \frac{2(m+1)^2 - 1 - \beta}{1 - \beta} \left(\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k| \right)}. \end{aligned}$$

The last expression is bounded by 1, if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^m |a_k| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |b_k| + \frac{2(m+1)^2 - 1 - \beta}{1 - \beta} \left(\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k| \right) \leq 1. \quad (6)$$

It suffices to show that LHS of (6) is bounded above by $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{2k^2-1-\beta}{1-\beta} |a_k| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{2k^2+1+\beta}{1-\beta} |b_k|$, which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=2}^m \frac{k^2 - 1}{1 - \beta} |a_k| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k^2 + \beta}{1 - \beta} |b_k| + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{k^2 - (m+1)^2}{1 - \beta} |a_k| \geq 0.$$

In this section we obtain the lower bound for $Re \left\{ \frac{f_m(z)}{f(z)} \right\}$.

Theorem 2.2: If f of the form (1.1) with $b_1 = 0$, satisfying condition (1.3), then

$$Re \left\{ \frac{f_m(z)}{f(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{2(m+1)^2 - 1 - \beta}{2(m+1)^2 - 2\beta}, \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (7)$$

The result (7) is sharp with the function (5).

Proof 2.2: We may write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} &= \frac{2(m+1)^2 - 2\beta}{1 - \beta} \left[\frac{f_m(re^{i\theta})}{f(re^{i\theta})} - \frac{2(m+1)^2 - 1 - \beta}{2(m+1)^2 - 2\beta} \right] \\ &= \frac{1 + \sum_{k=2}^m a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \bar{b}_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \frac{2(m+1)^2 - 2\beta}{1 - \beta} \left[\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} \right]}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^m a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \bar{b}_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta}} \end{aligned}$$

so that

$w(z)$

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\frac{2(m+1)^2 - 2\beta}{1 - \beta} \left[\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} \right]}{2 + 2 \left(\sum_{k=2}^m a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \bar{b}_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} \right) + \frac{2(m+1)^2 - 2\beta}{1 - \beta} \left(\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} \right)} \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$|w(z)|$$

$$= \frac{\frac{2(m+1)^2-2\beta}{1-\beta} \left[\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k| \right]}{2 - 2 \left(\sum_{k=2}^m |a_k| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |b_k| \right) - \frac{2(m+1)^2-2\beta}{1-\beta} \left(\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k| \right)}.$$

The last expression is bounded by 1, if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^m |a_k| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |b_k| + \frac{2(m+1)^2-2\beta}{1-\beta} \left(\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k| \right) \leq 1. \quad (8)$$

It suffices to show that LHS of (8) is bounded above by

$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{2k^2-1-\beta}{1-\beta} |a_k| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{2k^2+1+\beta}{1-\beta} |b_k|$, which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=2}^m \frac{k^2-1}{1-\beta} |a_k| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k^2+\beta}{1-\beta} |b_k| + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{k^2-(m+1)^2}{1-\beta} |a_k| \geq 0.$$

Theorem 2.3: If f of the form (1) with $b_1 = 0$, satisfying condition (2), then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f'(z)}{f'_m(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{2(m+1)^2 - m(1-\beta) - 2}{2(m+1)^2 - 1 - \beta}, \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (9)$$

The result (9) is sharp with the function (5).

Proof 2.3: We may write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} &= \frac{2(m+1)^2-1-\beta}{(m+1)(1-\beta)} \left[\frac{f'(re^{i\theta})}{f'_m(re^{i\theta})} - \frac{2(m+1)^2-m(1-\beta)-2}{2(m+1)^2-1-\beta} \right] \\ &= \frac{1 + \sum_{k=2}^m a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \bar{b}_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \frac{2(m+1)^2-1-\beta}{(m+1)(1-\beta)} \left[\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} \right]}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^m a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \bar{b}_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta}} \end{aligned}$$

The result follows by the same technique as used in the previous theorem.

Theorem 2.4: If f of the form (1) with $b_1 = 0$, satisfying condition (2), then

$$Re\left\{\frac{f'_m(z)}{f'(z)}\right\} \geq \frac{2(m+1)^2 - 1 - \beta}{2(m+1)^2 + m(1-\beta) - 2\beta}, \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (10)$$

The result (10) is sharp with the function (5).

Proof 2.4: We may write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} &= \frac{2(m+1)^2 - 2\beta}{1-\beta} \left[\frac{f_m(re^{i\theta})}{f(re^{i\theta})} - \frac{2(m+1)^2 - 1 - \beta}{2(m+1)^2 - 2\beta} \right] \\ &= \frac{1 + \sum_{k=2}^m a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \overline{b_k} r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \frac{2(m+1)^2 - 2\beta}{1-\beta} \left[\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} \right]}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^m a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \overline{b_k} r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta}} \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding exactly as in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we get the required result.

Theorem 2.5: If f of the form (1) with $b_1 = 0$, satisfying condition (2), then

$$Re\left\{\frac{f(z)}{f_n(z)}\right\} \geq \frac{2(n+1)^2 + 2\beta}{2(n+1)^2 + 1 + \beta}, \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (11)$$

The result(11) is sharp with the function

$$f(z) = z + \frac{1-\beta}{2(n+1)^2 + 1 + \beta} \overline{z}^{n+1}. \quad (12)$$

Proof 2.5: We may write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} &= \frac{2(n+1)^2 + 1 + \beta}{1-\beta} \left[\frac{f(re^{i\theta})}{f_n(re^{i\theta})} - \frac{2(n+1)^2 + 2\beta}{2(n+1)^2 + 1 + \beta} \right] \\ &= \frac{1 + \sum_{k=2}^m a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \overline{b_k} r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \frac{2(n+1)^2 + 1 + \beta}{1-\beta} \left[\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} \right]}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^m a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \overline{b_k} r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta}} \end{aligned}$$

The details of the proof are similar to the proof of the Theorem 2.1 and is omitted here.

Theorem 2.6: If f of the form (1) with $b_1 = 0$, satisfying condition (2), then

$$Re\left\{\frac{f_n(z)}{f(z)}\right\} \geq \frac{2(n+1)^2 + 2\beta}{2(n+1)^2 + 1 + \beta}, \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (13)$$

The result (13) is sharp with the function (12).

Proof 2.6: We may write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} &= \frac{2(n+1)^2 + 2}{1-\beta} \left[\frac{f(re^{i\theta})}{f_n(re^{i\theta})} - \frac{2(n+1)^2 + 1 + \beta}{2(n+1)^2 + 2} \right] \\ &= \frac{1 + \sum_{k=2}^m a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \overline{b_k} r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \frac{2(n+1)^2 + 1 + \beta}{2(n+1)^2 + 2} \left[\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} \right]}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^m a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \overline{b_k} r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta}} \end{aligned}$$

The details of the proof are similar to the proof of the Theorem 2.5 and is omitted here.

We next determine bounds for $Re\left\{\frac{f(z)}{f_{m,n}(z)}\right\}$.

Theorem 2.7: If f of the form (1) with $b_1 = 0$, satisfying condition (2), then

$$(i) \quad Re\left\{\frac{f(z)}{f_{m,n}(z)}\right\} \geq \frac{2(m+1)^2 - 2}{2(m+1)^2 - 1 - \beta}, \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (14)$$

$$(ii) \quad Re\left\{\frac{f(z)}{f_{m,n}(z)}\right\} \geq \frac{2(n+1)^2 + 2\beta}{2(n+1)^2 + 1 + \beta}, \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (15)$$

The results (14) and (15) are sharp with the functions given by (4) and (12) respectively.

Proof 2.7: For prove part (i), We write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} &= \frac{2(m+1)^2 - 1 - \beta}{1-\beta} \left[\frac{f(re^{i\theta})}{f_{m,n}(re^{i\theta})} - \frac{2(m+1)^2 - 2}{2(m+1)^2 - 1 - \beta} \right] \\ &= 1 + \sum_{k=2}^m a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=2}^n \overline{b_k} r^{k-1} e^{-i(k+1)\theta} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{+\frac{2(m+1)^2-2}{2(m+1)^2-1-\beta} \left[\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \overline{b_k} r^{k-1} e^{-i(k+1)\theta} \right]}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^m a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \overline{b_k} r^{k-1} e^{-i(k+1)\theta}}$$

so that

$$w(z) = \frac{\frac{2(m+1)^2-2}{2(m+1)^2-1-\beta} \left[\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \overline{b_k} r^{k-1} e^{-i(k+1)\theta} \right]}{2 + 2 \left(\sum_{k=2}^m a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=2}^n \overline{b_k} r^{k-1} e^{-i(k+1)\theta} \right)} \\ + \frac{2(m+1)^2-2}{2(m+1)^2-1-\beta} \left(\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \overline{b_k} r^{k-1} e^{-i(k+1)\theta} \right)$$

Then

$$|w(z)| \leq \frac{\frac{2(m+1)^2-2}{2(m+1)^2-1-\beta} \left[\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k| + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |b_k| \right]}{2 - 2 \left(\sum_{k=2}^m |a_k| + \sum_{k=2}^n |b_k| \right) - \frac{2(m+1)^2-2}{2(m+1)^2-1-\beta} \left[\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k| + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |b_k| \right]}$$

The last expression is bounded by 1 if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^m |a_k| + \sum_{k=2}^n |b_k| - \frac{2(m+1)^2-2}{2(m+1)^2-1-\beta} \left(\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k| + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |b_k| \right) \leq 1. \quad (16)$$

It suffices to show that LHS of (16) is bounded by

$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{2k^2-1-\beta}{1-\beta} |a_k| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{2k^2+1+\beta}{1-\beta} |b_k|$, which is equivalent

$$\sum_{k=2}^m \frac{k^2-1}{1-\beta} |a_k| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k^2+\beta}{1-\beta} |b_k| + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{k^2-(m+1)^2}{1-\beta} |a_k| + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{k^2-(m+1)^2+1+\beta}{1-\beta} |b_k| \geq 0.$$

To prove part (ii), we write

$$\frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} = \frac{2(n+1)^2+1+\beta}{1-\beta} \left[\frac{f(re^{i\theta})}{f_{m,n}(re^{i\theta})} - \frac{2(n+1)^2+2\beta}{2(n+1)^2+1+\beta} \right]$$

$$1 + \sum_{k=2}^m a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=2}^n \overline{b_k} r^{k-1} e^{-i(k+1)\theta}$$

$$+ \frac{2(n+1)^2+1+\beta}{1-\beta} \left[\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \overline{b_k} r^{k-1} e^{-i(k+1)\theta} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1 + \sum_{k=2}^m a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=2}^n \overline{b_k} r^{k-1} e^{-i(k+1)\theta}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^m a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=2}^n \overline{b_k} r^{k-1} e^{-i(k+1)\theta}}$$

so that

$$w(z) = \frac{\frac{2(n+1)^2+1+\beta}{1-\beta} \left[\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \overline{b_k} r^{k-1} e^{-i(k+1)\theta} \right]}{2 + 2 \left(\sum_{k=2}^m a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=2}^n \overline{b_k} r^{k-1} e^{-i(k+1)\theta} \right)}$$

$$+ \frac{2(n+1)^2+1+\beta}{1-\beta} \left(\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \overline{b_k} r^{k-1} e^{-i(k+1)\theta} \right)$$

Then

$$|w(z)| \leq \frac{\frac{2(n+1)^2+1+\beta}{1-\beta} \left[\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k| + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |b_k| \right]}{2 - 2 \left(\sum_{k=2}^m |a_k| + \sum_{k=2}^n |b_k| \right) - \frac{2(n+1)^2+1+\beta}{1-\beta} \left[\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k| + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |b_k| \right]}$$

The last expression is bounded by 1 if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^m |a_k| + \sum_{k=2}^n |b_k| - \frac{2(n+1)^2+1+\beta}{1-\beta} \left(\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k| + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |b_k| \right) \leq 1. \quad (17)$$

It suffices to show that LHS of (17) is bounded by

$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{2k^2-1-\beta}{1-\beta} |a_k| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{2k^2+1+\beta}{1-\beta} |b_k|$, which is equivalent

$$\sum_{k=2}^m \frac{k^2-1}{1-\beta} |a_k| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k^2+\beta}{1-\beta} |b_k| + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{k^2-(m+1)^2}{1-\beta} |a_k| + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{k^2-(n+1)^2}{1-\beta} |b_k| \geq 0.$$

and the proof is complete.

We next determine bounds for $Re\left\{\frac{f_{m,n}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}$.

Theorem 2.8: If f of the form (1) with $b_1 = 0$, satisfying condition (2), then

$$(i) \quad Re\left\{\frac{f_{m,n}(z)}{f(z)}\right\} \geq \frac{2(m+1)^2 - 1 - \beta}{2(m+1)^2 - 2\beta}, \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (18)$$

$$(ii) \quad Re\left\{\frac{f_{m,n}(z)}{f(z)}\right\} \geq \frac{2(n+1)^2 + 2\beta}{2(n+1)^2 + 1 + \beta}, \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (19)$$

The results (18) and (19) are sharp with the functions given by (4) and (12) respectively.

Proof 2.8: For prove part (i), We write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} &= \frac{2(m+1)^2 - 2\beta}{1-\beta} \left[\frac{f_{m,n}(re^{i\theta})}{f(re^{i\theta})} - \frac{2(m+1)^2 - 1 - \beta}{2(m+1)^2 - 2\beta} \right] \\ &= \frac{1 + \sum_{k=2}^m a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=2}^n \overline{b_k} r^{k-1} e^{-i(k+1)\theta}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^m a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=2}^n \overline{b_k} r^{k-1} e^{-i(k+1)\theta}} \\ &\quad + \frac{\frac{2(m+1)^2 - 1 - \beta}{2(m+1)^2 - 2\beta} \left[\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \overline{b_k} r^{k-1} e^{-i(k+1)\theta} \right]}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^m a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=2}^n \overline{b_k} r^{k-1} e^{-i(k+1)\theta}} \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} w(z) &= \frac{\frac{2(m+1)^2 - 1 - \beta}{2(m+1)^2 - 2\beta} \left[\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \overline{b_k} r^{k-1} e^{-i(k+1)\theta} \right]}{2 + 2 \left(\sum_{k=2}^m a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=2}^n \overline{b_k} r^{k-1} e^{-i(k+1)\theta} \right)} \\ &\quad + \frac{2(m+1)^2 - 1 - \beta}{2(m+1)^2 - 2\beta} \left(\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \overline{b_k} r^{k-1} e^{-i(k+1)\theta} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$|w(z)| \leq \frac{\frac{2(m+1)^2-1-\beta}{2(m+1)^2-2\beta} \left[\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k| + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |b_k| \right]}{2 - 2 \left(\sum_{k=2}^m |a_k| + \sum_{k=2}^n |b_k| \right) - \frac{2(m+1)^2-1-\beta}{2(m+1)^2-2\beta} \left[\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k| + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |b_k| \right]}$$

The last expression is bounded by 1 if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^m |a_k| + \sum_{k=2}^n |b_k| - \frac{2(m+1)^2-2}{2(m+1)^2-1-\beta} \left(\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k| + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |b_k| \right) \leq 1. \quad (20)$$

It suffices to show that LHS of (20) is bounded by

$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{2k^2-1-\beta}{1-\beta} |a_k| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{2k^2+1+\beta}{1-\beta} |b_k|$, which is equivalent

$$\sum_{k=2}^m \frac{k^2-1}{1-\beta} |a_k| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k^2+\beta}{1-\beta} |b_k| + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{k^2-(m+1)^2}{1-\beta} |a_k| + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{k^2-(m+1)^2+1+\beta}{1-\beta} |b_k| \geq 0.$$

To prove part (ii), we write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} &= \frac{2(n+1)^2+2}{1-\beta} \left[\frac{f(re^{i\theta})}{f_{m,n}(re^{i\theta})} - \frac{2(n+1)^2+1+\beta}{2(n+1)^2+2} \right] \\ &= \frac{1 + \sum_{k=2}^m a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=2}^n \overline{b_k} r^{k-1} e^{-i(k+1)\theta} + \frac{2(n+1)^2+2}{1-\beta} \left[\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \overline{b_k} r^{k-1} e^{-i(k+1)\theta} \right]}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^m a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \overline{b_k} r^{k-1} e^{-i(k+1)\theta}} \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$|w(z)| \leq \frac{\frac{2(n+1)^2+2}{1-\beta} \left[\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k| + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |b_k| \right]}{2 - 2 \left(\sum_{k=2}^m |a_k| + \sum_{k=2}^n |b_k| \right) - \frac{2(n+1)^2+2\beta}{1-\beta} \left[\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k| + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |b_k| \right]}$$

The last expression is bounded by 1 if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^m |a_k| + \sum_{k=2}^n |b_k| + \frac{2(n+1)^2 + 1 + \beta}{1 - \beta} \left(\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k| + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |b_k| \right) \leq 1. \quad (21)$$

It suffices to show that LHS of (21) is bounded by

$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{2k^2 - 1 - \beta}{1 - \beta} |a_k| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{2k^2 + 1 + \beta}{1 - \beta} |b_k|$, which is equivalent

$$\sum_{k=2}^m \frac{k^2 - 1}{1 - \beta} |a_k| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k^2 + \beta}{1 - \beta} |b_k| + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{k^2 - (m+1)^2}{1 - \beta} |a_k| + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{k^2 - (n+1)^2}{1 - \beta} |b_k| \geq 0.$$

and the proof is complete.

Theorem 2.9: If f of the form (1) with $b_1 = 0$, satisfying condition (2), then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f'(z)}{f'_{m,n}(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{2(m+1)^2 - 2}{2(m+1)^2 - 1 - \beta}, \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (22)$$

The result (22) is sharp with the function given by (4).

Proof 2.9: We write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 + w(z)}{1 - w(z)} &= \frac{2(m+1)^2 - 1 - \beta}{(m+1)(1 - \beta)} \left[\frac{f'(re^{i\theta})}{f'_{m,n}(re^{i\theta})} - \frac{2(m+1)^2 - 2}{2(m+1)^2 - 1 - \beta} \right] \\ &= \frac{1 + \sum_{k=2}^m k a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=2}^n k \bar{b}_k r^{k-1} e^{-i(k+1)\theta} + \frac{2(m+1)^2 - 1 - \beta}{(m+1)(1 - \beta)} \left[\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} k a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k \bar{b}_k r^{k-1} e^{-i(k+1)\theta} \right]}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^m k a_k r^{k-1} e^{i(k-1)\theta} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k \bar{b}_k r^{k-1} e^{-i(k+1)\theta}} \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$|w(z)| \leq \frac{\frac{2(m+1)^2 - 1 - \beta}{(m+1)(1 - \beta)} \left[\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} k |a_k| + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k |b_k| \right]}{2 - 2 \left(\sum_{k=2}^m k |a_k| + \sum_{k=2}^n k |b_k| \right) - \frac{2(m+1)^2 - 1 - \beta}{(m+1)(1 - \beta)} \left[\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} k |a_k| + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k |b_k| \right]}$$

The last inequality is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=2}^m k|a_k| + \sum_{k=2}^n k|b_k| + \frac{2(m+1)^2 - 1 - \beta}{(m+1)(1-\beta)} \left(\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} k|a_k| + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k|b_k| \right) \leq 1. \quad (23)$$

Since the LHS of (23) is bounded above by

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{2k^2 - 1 - \beta}{1 - \beta} |a_k| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{2k^2 + 1 + \beta}{1 - \beta} |b_k|, \text{ and hence the proof is complete.}$$

Theorem 2.10: If f of the form (1) with $b_1 = 0$, satisfying condition (2), then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f'_{m,n}(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{2(m+1)^2 - 1 - \beta}{2(m+1)^2 - 1 - \beta + (m+1)(1-\beta)}, \quad (z \in \Delta) \quad (24)$$

The result (24) is sharp with the function given by (4).

Proof 2.10: The proof of the result is akin to the proof of that of Theorem 2.9 and hence omitted.

References

References

- [1] J. Clunie and T. Shiel Small. *Harmonic Univalent Functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A.I. Math., 9(1984), 3-25.
- [2] A.W. Goodman. *Univalent Functions Vol 1 and 2*. Mariner Publishing, Tampa, Fla. USA. 1983.
- [3] P.L. Duren. *Univalent functions*, Springer Verlag, New York. Inc 1983
- [4] Saurabh Porwal. *Partial sums of certain harmonic univalent functions*, Lobachevskii Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 32, No. 1, pp. 366-375.
- [5] T. Rosy, K.G. Subramanian and G. Murugasundatamoorthy. *Neighbourhoods and partial sums of starlike based on Ruscheweyh derivatives*, J. Inequl. Pure Appl. Math., 4(4)(2003), 1-8.
- [6] H. Silverman, *Partial sums of starlike and convex functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 209(1997), 221-227.

- [7] Saurabh Porwal and Kaushal Kishore Dixit, *Partial sums of starlike harmonic univalent functions*, Kyungpook Math. J. 50(2010), 433-445.
- [8] T. Rosy, U. Vadivelan and S. Sunil varma, *On certain subclass of harmonic univalent functions* , Int. Journal if Science and Engineering Investigation, Vil. 7, issue 74,79-82.