**JOURNAL OF ALGEBRAIC STATISTICS**
Volume 13, No. 3, 2022, p. 1880-1883
https://publishoa.com
ISSN: 1309-3452

# An Epq Stock Model for Deteriorating Items with Quantity Discount and Budget Constraint

**A. RATHIDEVI[1] M. K. VEDIAPPAN[2,*] AND M. HAJ MEERAL[3]**

1. M. Phil Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Vels Institute of Science, Technology and Advanced Studies, Chennai – 600117, Tamil Nadu, India.
2. Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Vels Institute of Science, Technology and Advanced Studies, Chennai – 600117, Tamil Nadu, India.
*Corresponding author mail: mkvediappan@gmail.com
3. Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, The Quaide Milleth College for Men, Chennai – 600100, Tamil Nadu, India.

**Received** 2022 April 02**; Revised** 2022 May 20**; Accepted** 2022 June 18**.**

**ABSTRACT**

For the most part, vendor adopts quantity discount to the buyer to motivate retailers to make bigger orders. The subject of this paper is the problem of observing ideal recharging strategy for a stock, dependent upon quantity discount and deterioration. It is shown that considering restriction truly influences the course of action of the issue. At long last, mathematical model is displayed to show the ordinary use of the proposed model.

**Keywords:** Inventory, Order quantity, Quantity discount, Budget constraint.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Inventory administration fundamental in productive and helpful alliance. It is moreover key in the control of materials and product that should be held for later use by virtue of creation or later exchange practices because of organizations. The essential target of stock administration incorporates adjusting the conflicting monetary issues of not wanting to hold extremely stock.

Ravithammal et al. [8] made stock model utilizing mathematical system with stock level objective. Muniappan et al. [5] investigated an EPQ stock model for deteriorating things including reasonably copied needs. Muniappan et al. [6] supported a fused financial solicitation sum model including stock level and item house limit restriction. Ravithammal et al. [7] encouraged an ideal esteeming stock model for separating things with positive surprising limit of significant worth discount speed of interest. Vediappan et al. [9] made a motivation creation total model for drug things with floor space and spending limits. Abu Hashan Md Mashud et al. [1] concentrated on joint evaluating falling apart stock model considering item life cycle and settlement ahead of time with a rebate office. Cárdenas-Barrón [2] urged a simple technique to determine EOQ and EPQ stock models with delay purchases. Chih-Te Yang et al. [3] made a stock model with brief value rebate when lead time connects to arrange amount. Li et al. [4] created planning provider retailer and transporter with value markdown strategy. Yiju Wang and Wei Xing [10] made ideal requesting strategy for stock system With a stochastic transient value markdown. Zhan et al. [11fostered impetuses through stock control in supply chains.

## 2. ASSUMPTIONS AND NOTATIONS

The model use the following notations and assumptions

**Notations**

$d$ Demand rate

**JOURNAL OF ALGEBRAIC STATISTICS**
Volume 13, No. 3, 2022, p. 1880-1883
https://publishoa.com
ISSN: 1309-3452

P Production cost for vendor

p Purchase cost

D Discount factor

$A_1$ Ordering cost for purchaser

$h_1$ Holding cost for purchaser

$A_2$ Setup cost for vendor

$h_2$ Holding cost for vendor

X Maximum available inventory

F Fixed transportation cost for purchaser

V Variable cost for purchaser for order handling and receiving

Q Economic Order quantity

m Multiples of order for purchaser

n Multiples of order for vendor

$TC_p$ Purchaser total cost

$TC_v$ Vendor's total cost

$TC_s$ Integrated system cost

**Assumptions**

(i) The model acknowledges consistent interest.
(ii) Structure cost is formulated for seller - purchaser with same benefits.
(iii) Structure cost satisfies the budget restriction. Numerically, the essential will be made as $pQ \leq X$.
(iv) Seller has the production and provides quantity discount to motivate purchaser to make greater orders.

## 3. FORMULATION OF THE MODEL

The purchaser cost contains ordering cost, holding cost and transportation cost. The vendor cost contains setup cost, holding cost and quantity discount. The integrated system cost is addition of purchaser cost and vendor cost.

Now the integrated system cost will be composed as

$$TC_s = TC_p + TC_v$$

$$TC_s = \frac{A_1 d}{mQ} + \frac{mQh_1}{2} + F + VmQ + \frac{A_2 d}{nQ} + \frac{nQh_2}{2}\left(1 - \frac{d}{P}\right) + pdD \qquad (1)$$

Here, we consider the buyer's budget constraint. Now, Lagrange multiplier function $\alpha, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ is added on system cost can be written as follows:

$$TC_s = TC_b + TC_m + \alpha(pQ - X)$$

subject to the constraint $pQ \leq X$.

$$\mathrm{TC_s} = \frac{\mathrm{A_1 d}}{\mathrm{mQ}} + \frac{\mathrm{mQh_1}}{2} + \mathrm{F} + \mathrm{VmQ} + \frac{\mathrm{A_2 d}}{\mathrm{nQ}} + \frac{\mathrm{nQh_2}}{2}\left(1 - \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{P}}\right) + \mathrm{pdD} + \alpha(pQ - X) \quad (2)$$

Now equation (2) will be composed as

$$\mathrm{TC_s} = \left\{\frac{\mathrm{mh_1} + \mathrm{nh_2}\left(1 - \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{P}}\right) + 2\mathrm{mV} + 2\alpha \mathrm{p}}{2}\right\}\mathrm{Q} + \left\{\frac{\mathrm{A_1 d}}{\mathrm{m}} + \frac{\mathrm{A_2 d}}{\mathrm{n}}\right\}\frac{1}{\mathrm{Q}} + \mathrm{F} + \mathrm{pdD} - \alpha X \quad (3)$$

It is in the type of $a_1 Q + \frac{a_2}{Q} + a_3$.

$Q$ will be taken as, $Q = \sqrt{\frac{a_2}{a_1}}$

$$\mathrm{Q}^* = \sqrt{\frac{2\mathrm{d}\left(\frac{\mathrm{A_1}}{\mathrm{m}} + \frac{\mathrm{A_2}}{\mathrm{n}}\right)}{\mathrm{mh_1} + \mathrm{nh_2}\left(1 - \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{P}}\right) + 2(\mathrm{mV} + \alpha p)}} \quad (4)$$

Where $\alpha = \frac{p^2\left\{2\mathrm{d}\left(\frac{\mathrm{A_1}}{\mathrm{m}} + \frac{\mathrm{A_2}}{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right\} - X^2\left\{\mathrm{mh_1} + \mathrm{nh_2}\left(1 - \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{P}}\right) + 2\mathrm{mV}\right\}}{2\mathrm{p}X^2}$

## 4. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

In this section numerical example is presented to illustrate the developed model.

**Example1.** Let $A_1$ = 200 per order, $A_2$ = 300 per order, $h_1$ = 2$, $h_2$ = 3$, V = 0.2, d = 900 units/ year, P = 2000 units/ year, m = 2; n = 3, p = 2, F=0.2, X=600, $\alpha = 0.3$ , D = 40%.

The optimal solution is $Q^* = 181.3194$ , $\mathrm{TC_S} = 2525.647$.

**Example2.** Let $A_1$ = 150 per order, $A_2$ = 250 per order, $h_1$ = 0.3$, $h_2$ = 0.4$, V = 0.3, d = 1500 units/ year, P = 2000 units/year, m = 2, n = 3, p =0.5, F=0.5, X=500, $\alpha = 0.2$, D = 0.4.

The optimal solution is $Q^* = 454.4466$ , $\mathrm{TC_S} = 1245.727$.

## 5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a stock model for price discount strategy is inspected for facilitated systems. In this model vendor gives the price discount to the purchaser for mass purchase. For framework advancement incorporated framework cost is created. Additionally the model fulfills budget level limitation. This sort of issues is tackled by utilizing Lagrange's multiplier Technique. Numerical example is also given to illustrate the developed model. For the further explores, the model can be associated in credit period, brief rebate, stock level limitation, floor imperative, etc.

## REFERENCES

1. Abu Hashan Md Mashud, Dipa Roy, Yosef Daryanto and Hui-Ming Wee, *Joint Pricing Deteriorating Inventory Model Considering Product Life Cycle And Advance Payment With A Discount Facility*, RAIRO Operations Research, **55**(2021), 1069 –1088.
2. L.E. Cárdenas-Barrón, *An easy method to derive EOQ and EPQ inventory models with backorders*, Computers & Mathematics with Applications **59** (2010), 948–952.
3. Chih-Te Yang, Liang-Yuh Ouyang, Kun-Shan Wu and Hsiu-Feng Yen, *An inventory model with temporary price discount when lead time links to order quantity*, Journal of Scientific & Industrial Research, **69** (2010), 180-187.
4. L. Li, Y. Wang and W. Dai, *Coordinating supplier retailer and carrier with price discount policy*. Applied Mathematical Modelling, **40** (2016), 646-657.

5. P. Muniappan, M. Ravithammal, and A. Ameenammal, *EPQ incentive inventory model for deteriorating products involving partially backlogged shortages*, Jour of Adv Research in Dynamical & Control Systems, (6) 10 (2018), 940-943.

6. P. Muniappan, M. Ravithammal, M. Haj Meeral, *An Integrated Economic Order Quantity Model Involving Inventory Level and Ware House Capacity Constraint*, International Journal of Pharmaceutical Research, (3) **12** (2020), 791-793.

7. M. Ravithammal, P. Muniappan and R. Uthayakumar, *An Optimal Pricing Inventory Model for Deteriorating Items with Positive Exponential Function of Price Discount Rate of demand*, Jour of Adv Research in Dynamical & Control Systems, (5) **10** (2018), 639-645.

8. M. Ravithammal, P. Muniappan and S. Hemamalini, *EOQ inventory model using algebraic method with inventory level constraint*, Journal of International Pharmaceutical Research, (1) **46** (2019), 813-815.

9. M.K. Vediappan, M. Ravithammal, P. Muniappan, *An Incentive Production Quantity Model for pharmaceutical Products with Floor Space and Budget Constraints*, European Journal of Molecular & Clinical Medicine, (2) **7** (2020), 5150-5152.

10. Yiju Wang and Wei Xing, *Optimal ordering policy for inventory mechanism With a stochastic short-term price discount*, Journal of industrial and Management optimization, Vol (3) **16** (2020), 1187- 1202.

11. Zhan., Qu, Horst., Raff. and Nicolas., Schmitt, *Incentives through inventory control in supply chains*. International Journal of Industrial Organization, **59** (2018), 486-513.